

Zootaxa 3717 (4): 469–497 www.mapress.com/zootaxa/

Copyright © 2013 Magnolia Press





http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3717.4.3

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org;pub:D47FA59C-C1E8-4CF9-A378-7445BEC4E32C

# Taxonomic revision of *Myrmeciza* (Aves: Passeriformes: Thamnophilidae) into 12 genera based on phylogenetic, morphological, behavioral, and ecological data

MORTON L. ISLER<sup>1,3</sup>, GUSTAVO A. BRAVO<sup>2</sup> & ROBB T. BRUMFIELD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Vertebrate Zoology—Birds, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20560, USA

<sup>2</sup>*Museum of Natural Science and Department of Biological Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA* <sup>3</sup>*Corresponding author. E-mail:antbird@cox.net* 

# Abstract

A comprehensive molecular phylogeny of the family Thamnophilidae indicated that the genus *Myrmeciza* (Gray) is not monophyletic. Species currently assigned to the genus are found in three of the five tribes comprising the subfamily Thamnophilinae. Morphological, behavioral, and ecological character states of species within these tribes and their closest relatives were compared to establish generic limits. As a result of this analysis, species currently placed in *Myrmeciza* are assigned to *Myrmeciza* and eleven other genera, four of which (*Myrmelastes* Sclater, *Myrmoderus* Ridgway, *Myrmophylax* Todd, and *Sipia* Hellmayr) are resurrected, and seven of which (*Ammonastes, Ampelornis, Aprositornis, Hafferia, Inundicola, Poliocrania,* and *Sciaphylax*) are newly described.

Key words: antbirds, Myrmeciza, phylogeny, systematics, Thamnophilidae

# Introduction

Historically, the 22 species currently (American Ornithologists' Union 1998, Zimmer & Isler 2003, Remsen *et al.* 2013) comprising the genus *Myrmeciza* (Gray) have been placed in a multiplicity of genera accompanied by uncertainty and controversy. Notable attempts at generic classification were made by Hellmayr in Cory and Hellmayr (1924), who consolidated the species into two genera; by Todd (1927), who placed the species in five genera, two newly described; by Zimmer (1932), who considered Todd's five genera "unsatisfactory" and recommended calling all the species *Myrmeciza*; by Peters (1951), who placed them into three of Todd's genera; and finally by Meyer de Schauensee (1970), who apparently followed Zimmer's recommendation and placed all the species into *Myrmeciza*. The decision to consolidate has since been followed by various authors (e.g. Sibley & Monroe 1990, Ridgely & Tudor 1994, Zimmer & Isler 2003) who, however, indirectly or directly expressed doubt that *Myrmeciza* represented a monophyletic group. Subsequently, polyphyly was confirmed by genetic studies (Irestedt *et al.* 2004, Brumfield *et al.* 2007, Moyle *et al.* 2009, Belmonte-Lopes *et al.* 2012), but monophyly has never been formally tested using complete taxon (species level) sampling. Therefore, our objective has been to test the monophyly of *Myrmeciza* and provide a genus-level taxonomic classification for species currently placed in this genus.

An inherent dilemma in making generic recommendations for a large number of taxa, such as currently placed in *Myrmeciza*, is whether to place species that are phenotypically very different in large genera solely on the basis of monophyly (a "broad monophyly" option) or to revive, and if needed describe, smaller morphologically, ecologically, and behaviorally distinct genera by combining phylogenetic information with other lines of information (a "focused monophyly" option). Both options have limitations with regard to their information content. Large inclusive genera (the current *Myrmeciza* is an example) may provide no insights into the relationship among species within morphologically and behaviorally distinct clades. On the other hand, basing genera on smaller clades may lead to a multitude of genera, some of which will be monotypic and therefore also provide little insight into relationships.

Because consistency is an important principle of classification at all levels, we approached the problem of defining genera with the "focused monophyly" option in mind. This option provides recognition of phylogenetic relationships, synapomorphic characters, and phenotypic distinctiveness that will best facilitate understanding and communication of relatedness of taxa among analysts, field workers and conservationists. Our procedure, therefore, is to overlay a wide variety of morphological, behavioral, and ecological characteristics on a newly completed molecular phylogeny, and then to assess the degree of differentiation and diagnosability of different clades that could be treated as genera. Historically, thamnophilid genera typically have been defined solely by morphological characters. In the last fifty years, an enormous amount of natural history knowledge has been obtained for thamnophilid taxa previously only known from specimens. Now, we can use a wide variety of morphological, behavioral, and ecological characteristics within a framework of DNA-based phylogenetic relationships to define and allocate species into genera.

# Methods

Procedurally, we initially constructed a molecular phylogeny to identify those well-supported clades in which members of *Myrmeciza* are placed and then looked for distinct gaps in morphological, behavioral, and ecological characters between those clades and their close relatives. We based decisions to recognize genera on the extent of such gaps including comparisons with phenotypic differences among universally accepted thamnophilid genera. In the Results and Discussion section, we first examine, discuss, and make generic recommendations for each clade, and in a final section all the results are arrayed to test for consistency across clades and provide a reference point for replication.

**Molecular analysis.** To evaluate the phylogenetic relationships of *Myrmeciza* antbirds, we used a subset of a densely sampled molecular phylogeny of the Thamnophilinae containing 218 of the 224 species (Bravo 2012). We used sequences of three protein-coding mitochondrial genes (cytochrome b—cytb, 1,045 bp; NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2—ND2, 1,041 bp; and NADH dehydrogenase subunit 3—ND3, 351 bp), one autosomal nuclear intron ( $\beta$ fibrinogen intron 5— $\beta$ F5; 593 bp), and two protein-coding nuclear genes (recombination activation gene 1— RAG1, 2,875 bp; recombination activation gene 2-RAG2, 1,152 bp). Taxon sampling (Table 1) for this subset includes 97 individuals representing 75 species and 32 genera (33% and 63% of the family, respectively), and two samples of all currently recognized species of *Myrmeciza* except for *Myrmeciza palliata* (Todd) (n = 1). Samples were unavailable for Pyriglena atra (Swainson), although its sister relationship to P. leucoptera (Vieillot) has been demonstrated elsewhere (Maldonado-Coelho 2012), and Schistocichla caurensis. Our samples of the type species of Myrmeciza, M. longipes (Swainson), corresponded only to subspecies panamensis because no tissue samples of the nominate subspecies were available to us; we assumed that the samples are suitable representatives of Myrmeciza longipes. The phylogeny was outgroup-rooted using sequences we generated or downloaded from GenBank: Acanthisitta chloris (Acanthisittidae; ROM UV RIF001/RIF002; GenBank GQ140172, AY325307), Smithornis sharpei/capensis (Eurylaimidae; LSUMZ B-21171; GenBank AF090340), Tyranneutes stolzmanni (Pipridae; AMNH DOT-2997), Pipreola whitelyi (Cotingidae; FMNH 339665), Geositta poeciloptera (Furnariidae; LSUMZ B-13968), Furnarius rufus (Furnariidae; AMNH DOT-10431), Dendrocolaptes sanctithomae (Furnariidae: AMNH DOT-3689), Chamaeza campanisona (Formicariidae: UWBM KGB14), Liosceles thoracicus (Rhinocryptidae; FMNH 390080), Hylopezus berlepschi (Grallariidae; FMNH 322345), Pittasoma michleri/ rufopileatum (Conopophagidae; LSUMZ B-2285/B-11863), and Melanopareia elegans (Melanopareiidae; LSUMZ B- 5245/5246).

Total DNA was extracted from 25 mg of pectoral muscle using the Qiagen DNeasy kit following the manufacturer's protocol, and polymerase chain reactions were performed using the protocols of Brumfield *et al.* (2007) for cytb, ND2, ND3, and  $\beta$ F5, and of Groth and Barrowclough (1999) and Barker *et al.* (2002) for RAG-1 and RAG-2. Each gene region was sequenced bidirectionally to verify accuracy. Additional sequences from our previous work (Brumfield & Edwards, 2007; Brumfield *et al.*, 2007; Moyle *et al.*, 2009; Gómez *et al.*, 2010; Bravo *et al.*, 2012) were also used.

Analyses were conducted on a concatenated six-gene 7,057 bp alignment obtained using the program MAFFT v. 6 (Katoh *et al.* 2002). To avoid over-parameterization, we ran ML analyses for six different partition schemes under the GTR+ $\Gamma$  model of nucleotide substitution using RAxML 7.2.7 (Stamatakis, 2006) on the Cipres Science

Gateway V 3.1 (Miller *et al.*, 2010). We used these likelihood values to calculate the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Akaike, 1974) for each partition and established that the most informative partition scheme was the one with 16 partitions (the nuclear intron and each codon position for each coding gene are treated separately). Using this partitioning scheme, we estimated the phylogeny via maximum likelihood using RAxML and assessed nodal confidence by performing 1,000 bootstrap replicates.

**TABLE 1.** Ingroup taxa used in this study and their respective tissue collection catalog number. Tissue collections: LSUMZ—Louisiana State University Museum of Natural Science, Baton Rouge; AMNH—American Museum of Natural History, New York City; ANSP—Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, Philadelphia; COP—Colección Ornitológica Phelps, Caracas, Venezuela; FMNH—Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago; IAvH—Instituto Alexander von Humboldt, Villa de Leyva, Colombia; INPA—Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus, Brazil; KU—University of Kansas Natural History Museum, Lawrence; LGEMA—Laboratório de Genética e Evolução Molecular de Aves Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; MCP— Coleção de Ornitologia do Museu de Ciências e Tecnologia da Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil; MZUSP—Museu de Zoologia Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil; UAM—University of Alaska Museum, Fairbanks; USNM— United States National Museum of Natural History - Smithsonian Institution, Washington; UWBM—University of Washington Burke Museum, Seattle.

Species	Subspecies	Locality	Museum	Tissue No.
Cymbilaimus lineatus	intermedius	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	LSUMZ	B-18168
Hypoedaleus guttatus	monotypic	Argentina: Misiones	UWBM	DHB1805
Batara cinerea	argentina	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	UWBM	RTB520
Mackenziaena leachii	monotypic	Argentina: Misiones	USNM	B-5986
Thamnophilus doliatus	radiatus	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	UWBM	RTB390
Thamnophilus praecox	monotypic	Ecuador: Sucumbíos	ANSP	B-3190
Neoctantes niger	monotypic	Peru: Cuzco	FMNH	321806
Thamnistes anabatinus	rufescens	Peru: San Martín	LSUMZ	B-5467
Dysithamnus mentalis	emiliae	Brazil: Pernambuco	FMNH	392443
Thamnomanes caesius	glaucus	Guyana: Barima-Waini	USNM	B-9482
Epinecrophylla haematonota	nominate	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-4579
Myrmotherula brachyura	monotypic	Brazil: Amazonas	LSUMZ	B-20305
Myrmotherula axillaris	nominate	Suriname: Sipaliwini	LSUMZ	B-55209
Myrmotherula menetriesii	nominate	Bolivia: Pando	LSUMZ	B-9759
Myrmorchilus strigilatus	nominate	Brazil: Sergipe	FMNH	392862
Herpsilochmus sticturus	monotypic	Guyana: Cuyuni-Mazaruni	USNM	B-5228
Microrhopias quixensis	albicauda	Peru: Madre de Dios	FMNH	321993
Drymophila ferruginea	monotypic	Brazil: São Paulo	LSUMZ	B-37217
Drymophila genei	monotypic	Brazil: Minas Gerais	FMNH	432972
Hypocnemis ochrogyna	monotypic	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	LSUMZ	B-15122
Hypocnemis striata	affinis	Brazil: Pará	FMNH	391408
Euchrepomis humeralis	monotypic	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-7029
Euchrepomis sharpei	monotypic	Bolivia: Cochabamba	LSUMZ	B-39086
Cercomacra tyrannina	nominate	Panama: Darién	LSUMZ	B-2273
Cercomacra laeta	sabinoi	Brazil: Pernambuco	FMNH	392376
Cercomacra parkeri	monotypic	Colombia: Antioquia	IAvH	BT-4962
Cercomacra nigrescens	approximans	Brazil: Rondônia	FMNH	389848
Cercomacra serva	hypomelaena	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-27609
Pyriglena leuconota	hellmayri	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	FMNH	334469

.....continued on the next page

# TABLE 1. (Continued)

Species	Subspecies	Locality	Museum	Tissue No.
Pyriglena leucoptera	monotypic	Paraguay: Caaguazú	LSUMZ	B-25922
Myrmoborus leucophrys	nominate	Bolivia: Pando	LSUMZ	B-9286
Myrmoborus lugubris	berlepschi	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-7269
Myrmoborus myotherinus	ochrolaema	Brazil: Pará	FMNH	391406
Myrmoborus melanurus	monotypic	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-43056
Hypocnemoides melanopogon	nominate	Guyana: Potaru-Siparuni	KU	1334
Hypocnemoides maculicauda	monotypic	Brazil: Rondônia	MCP	2614
Gymnocichla nudiceps	nominate	Panama: Darién	LSUMZ	B-2228
Sclateria naevia	nominate	Brazil: Amapá	FMNH	391418
Percnostola rufifrons	jensoni	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-7011
Percnostola arenarum	ssp. nov.	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-42715
Percnostola lophotes	monotypic	Bolivia: Pando	LSUMZ	B-9499
Schistocichla schistacea	monotypic	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-4686
Schistocichla leucostigma	nominate	Suriname: Sipaliwini	LSUMZ	B-55190
Schistocichla humaythae	nominate	Bolivia: Pando	LSUMZ	B-8922
Schistocichla brunneiceps	monotypic	Peru: Madre de Dios	FMNH	433483
Schistocichla rufifacies	monotypic	Brazil: Rondônia	FMNH	389929
Schistocichla saturata	monotypic	Guyana: Cuyuni-Mazaruni	KU	3895
Myrmeciza longipes 1	panamensis	Panama: Panamá	LSUMZ	B-46533
Myrmeciza longipes 2	panamensis	Panama: Panamá	LSUMZ	B-46534
Myrmeciza exsul 1	niglarus	Panama: Panamá	UAM	20240
Myrmeciza exsul 2	occidentalis	Costa Rica: Puntarenas	LSUMZ	B-16088
Myrmeciza ferruginea 1	nominate	Suriname: Sipaliwini	LSUMZ	B-55285
Myrmeciza ferruginea 2	nominate	Brazil: Amazonas	LSUMZ	B-20403
Myrmeciza ruficauda 1	soror	Brazil: Pernambuco	FMNH	392445
Myrmeciza ruficauda 2	soror	Brazil: Alagoas	FMNH	399262
Myrmeciza loricata 1	monotypic	Brazil: Minas Gerais	MZUSP	85430
Myrmeciza loricata 2	monotypic	Brazil: Minas Gerais	MZUSP	85433
Myrmeciza squamosa 1	monotypic	Brazil: São Paulo	LSUMZ	B-16940
Myrmeciza squamosa 2	monotypic	Brazil: São Paulo	LGEMA	1526
Myrmeciza laemosticta 1	monotypic	Panama: Coclé	LSUMZ	B-52919
Myrmeciza laemosticta 2	monotypic	Costa Rica: Cartago	LSUMZ	B-72189
Myrmeciza palliata	palliata	Colombia: Antioquia	IAvH	BT-8455
Myrmeciza nigricauda 1	monotypic	Ecuador: Esmeraldas	LSUMZ	B-11775
Myrmeciza nigricauda 2	monotypic	Ecuador: Esmeraldas	LSUMZ	B-11717
Myrmeciza berlepschi 1	monotypic	Ecuador: Esmeraldas	LSUMZ	B-12026
Myrmeciza berlepschi 2	monotypic	Ecuador: Esmeraldas	LSUMZ	B-29981
Myrmeciza pelzelni 1	monotypic	Venezuela: Amazonas	LSUMZ	B-7523
Myrmeciza pelzelni 2	monotypic	Colombia: Caquetá	IAvH	BT-573
Myrmeciza hemimelaena 1	nominate	Peru: Ucayali	UAM	20237
Myrmeciza hemimelaena 2	pallens	Bolivia: Santa Cruz	LSUMZ	B-18271
Myrmeciza castanea 1	centuculorum	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-42168

.....continued on the next page

#### TABLE 1. (Continued)

Species	Subspecies	Locality	Museum	Tissue No.
Myrmeciza castanea 2	nominate	Peru: San Martín	LSUMZ	B-44678
Myrmeciza atrothorax 1	obscurata	Peru: Madre de Dios	FMNH	322209
Myrmeciza atrothorax 2	nominate	Brazil: Roraima	INPA	A-1079
Myrmeciza melanoceps 1	monotypic	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-43013
Myrmeciza melanoceps 2	monotypic	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-42878
Myrmeciza goeldii 1	monotypic	Bolivia: Pando	LSUMZ	B-9293
Myrmeciza goeldii 2	monotypic	Peru: Madre de Dios	LSUMZ	B-21212
Myrmeciza hyperythra 1	monotypic	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-7342
Myrmeciza hyperythra 2	monotypic	Brazil: Amazonas	LSUMZ	B-35684
Myrmeciza fortis 1	nominate	Peru: Ucayali	UAM	20533
Myrmeciza fortis 2	nominate	Peru: Loreto	LSUMZ	B-4704
Myrmeciza zeledoni 1	nominate	Panama: Bocas del Toro	UAM	20534
Myrmeciza zeledoni 2	macrorhyncha	Ecuador: Esmeraldas	LSUMZ	B-12004
Myrmeciza immaculata 1	nominate	Colombia: Norte de Santander	IAvH	BT-92
Myrmeciza immaculata 2	nominate	Venezuela: Táchira	СОР	JM1059
Myrmeciza disjuncta 1	monotypic	Colombia: Vichada	IAvH	BT-8017
Myrmeciza disjuncta 2	monotypic	Colombia: Vichada	IAvH	BT-8031
Myrmeciza griseiceps 1	monotypic	Peru: Tumbes	LSUMZ	B-66366
Myrmeciza griseiceps 2	monotypic	Peru: Tumbes	LSUMZ	B-66571
Myrmornis torquata	nominate	Brazil: Rondônia	FMNH	389880
Pithys albifrons	nominate	Brazil: Amapá	FMNH	391430
Gymnopithys rufigula	pallidus	Venezuela: Amazonas	LSUMZ	B-7512
Hylophylax naevioides	nominate	Panama: Darién	LSUMZ	B-2230
Hylophylax naevius	nominate	Suriname: Sipaliwini	LSUMZ	B-55298
Willisornis poecilinotus	griseiventris	Bolivia: La Paz	FMNH	391148
Phaenostictus mcleannani	nominate	Panama: Darién	LSUMZ	B-2135

We conducted a Bayesian analysis using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001) on the University of Oslo Bioportal (Kumar *et al.*, 2009). For each partition we evaluated 24 finite-sites substitution models with MrModeltest 2.3 (Nylander, 2004) based on likelihood scores from PAUP\* (Swofford, 2003). We identified the best substitution model via comparison of AIC values. We performed our analysis with four runs and four MCMC chains, using 20,000,000 generations with a sample frequency of 1,000, a chain temperature of 1.75, and a burn-in of 20%. The results of the Bayesian inference were analyzed for convergence using the compare splits and the slide window analyses implemented at AWTY (Wilgenbusch *et al.*, 2004; Nylander *et al.*, 2008). Both approaches demonstrated that the chains reached convergence.

**Morphological, behavioral, and ecological data** We used two principal sources of data in the comparisons: (1) a morphometric data set assembled by GAB and supplemented by data from Zimmer and Isler (2003); and (2), plumage, behavioral, ecological, and distributional data from a compilation of information on thamnophilids (Zimmer and Isler 2003). Original sources for the data from Zimmer and Isler (2003) may be obtained from the publication; these have been supplemented by more recent sources which are cited herein. In making comparisons, we maintained phenotypically similar species in a sub-clade when the phylogeny indicated that they were sister species and branch lengths were short. Comparisons within and between clades are presented in the following format:

*Phylogenetic relationships.*—Describes how taxa are related to each other and defines names employed in the results.

*Biogeography.*—Provides as background a brief summary of distribution and spatial relationships among taxa, including an identification of geographic range overlaps.

*Plumage*.—Summarizes plumage characters that distinguish genera. This does not include diagnoses of individual species.

*Morphometrics.*—Provides original weight data and 10 ecomorphological variables representing the size and shape of the bill, wing, tail, tarsus, and feet. These were taken from museum specimens of 245 individuals of 62 species. For most species, we obtained measurements from at least two adult males and two adult females; eleven species were represented by fewer than four measured specimens (1, n= 4; 2, n= 4; 3, n= 3). Measurements obtained were bill length, bill width and depth at the level of the anterior border of the nostrils, wing length to the longest primary, wing length to the tenth primary, length to the first secondary feather, tail maximum length, central rectrix maximum width, tarsus length, and hallux length. All measurements were taken with a Mitutoyo Digimatic Point Caliper by GAB and details of how they were taken can be found elsewhere (Baldwin *et al.* 1931; Derryberry *et al.* 2011). Additional weight and total length data were obtained from Zimmer and Isler (2003). "Body length" was estimated by subtracting tail length from total length. Because total length and body length are approximations, they were only used in ratios with other measurements rather than as independent characters in making generic recommendations. Ratios comparing morphological structures (e.g., wing length/total length, tail length/wing length) that have been used historically (e.g., Ridgway 1911) to define genera were then calculated for every species. Because no standard exists, we set a twenty percent differential of values obtained as a conservative yardstick of a diagnostic difference between populations.

*Loudsongs.*—Provides descriptions that are limited to general characteristics differentiating clades. For example, species in one clade may deliver uncountable trills of notes whereas loudsongs in another might be a countable series of distinct notes. "Countable" is defined as the human ability to count notes at normal loudsong speed.

*Habitat.*— Describes differences and commonalities.

*Foraging behavior.*—Emphasizes height above the ground, substrates searched, and attack behavior (following Remsen & Robinson 1990).

*Tail and wing movements.*—Identifies differences in tail movements that Willis in a series of papers (e.g. Willis 1985) concluded distinguished thamnophilid genera. These were supplemented and extended to wing movements described in Zimmer and Isler (2003).

Nest architecture.--Includes data from a recent review of Myrmeciza nests (Greeney et al. 2013).

Discussion.—Identifies and discusses treatment options on the basis of supporting evidence.

*Taxonomic Recommendations.*— Draws conclusions regarding the number of genera appropriate for the clade and the distribution of species among them on the basis of principles and procedures described in the following section. We describe new genera as necessary and provide new diagnoses for existing genera. English names of included species follow Remsen *et al.* (2013).

**Taxonomic assessment.** The obtained phylogeny of *Myrmeciza* provided the main framework for our generic recommendations. First, we identified those clades of *Myrmeciza* species that were found to violate the principle of monophyly, and hence, required further assessment of their generic placement. Next, for each of those clades, we compared the morphological, behavioral, and ecological features described above with those of their well-supported sister groups. If relationships among clades were not resolved with high support or various generic rearrangements were possible the comparison was conducted including all possible clades.

We then determined the presence or absence of diagnostic traits between clades. In addition to plumage and morphometrics, traits considered intrinsic and therefore relevant to defining genera in the Thamnophilidae included loudsongs (Baptista & Kroodsma 2001), tail and wing movements (Willis 1985), and nest architecture (Sheldon & Winkler 1999, Zyskowski & Prum 1999). If one or more of these traits differed diagnostically between a species-group and its relatives, we considered recommending generic status under the "focused monophyly" option described in the introduction. No rule is available, however, for setting how many traits need differ to recommend generic status. Consequently, we employed four considerations. First, diagnostic differences among multiple traits were considered supportive of generic distinction. Second, generic status was enhanced by strong differences within traits (e.g., multiple morphometric differences compared to one). Third, generic designation was supported if the extent of diagnostic differences was similar to distinctions between universally-accepted existing genera (e.g., between *Pyriglena* and *Percnostola*) in the major clade under study. Fourth, although not considered intrinsic, the presence of diagnostic differences in habitat and foraging behavior were deemed supportive.

In a final section, we array our recommendations to examine their consistency and provide a benchmark for replication. To provide additional perspective, genera are compared to the relative ages of clades in a time-calibrated phylogeny of the entire family that has been presented elsewhere (Bravo 2012).

## **Results and discussion**

**Molecular analysis.** Maximum-likelihood (Fig. 1) and Bayesian (Fig. 2) phylogenetic trees produced identical topologies, indicating with high support that *Myrmeciza* is not monophyletic and that its members are placed in three of the five tribes of the Thamnophilidae proposed by Moyle *et al.* (2009). *Myrmeciza atrothorax* (Boddaert), *M. pelzelni* Sclater, *and M. disjuncta* Friedmann belong in the Microrhopiini, *M. hemimelaena* Sclater and *M. castanea* (Zimmer) belong in the Pithyini, and the remaining species are found in the Pyriglenini. The type species of the genus, *M. longipes*, belongs in the latter tribe and is not sister to any other member of the genus.

**Morphological, behavioral, and ecological data and taxonomic assessment.** Given the well-supported and substantial genetic distances among the tribes, comparisons of morphological, behavioral, and ecological character states were limited to clades within tribes in establishing generic limits of species currently placed in *Myrmeciza*. In presenting and discussing our results, we give each clade the name of a genus or species at its base.

# **Tribe Microrhopiini**

*Phylogenetic relationships.*—Three species currently placed in *Myrmeciza (atrothorax, pelzelni, and disjuncta)* and *Myrmorchilus strigilatus* (Wied) form a well-supported clade (henceforth the *Myrmorchilus* clade) within the Microrhopiini (Figs. 1 and 2).

*Biogeography.—Myrmorchilus strigilatus* comprises two disjunct populations in NE Brazil and the Chaco of Bolivia, Paraguay, and Argentina; *disjuncta* is restricted to the upper Rio Orinoco and the upper and mid Rio Negro in Venezuela, Colombia, and Brazil; *atrothorax* is discontinuously distributed throughout Amazonia; and *pelzelni* is confined to the headwaters region of the Rio Negro and nearby locations in Colombia and Venezuela. Ranges of *disjuncta, atrothorax*, and *pelzelni* overlap in the upper Rio Negro region.

*Plumage.*—Differs substantially among all four species of the *Myrmorchilus* clade. Outstanding characters include stripes and black patches in *strigilatus*; contrasting blackish tails and gray unmarked facial pattern in *atrothorax*; plain, mostly unmarked plumage in *disjuncta*; and boldly scaled facial pattern and spotted wing coverts in *pelzelni*. No major plumage character is shared by all four species, and only a few characters by portions of the group. Unspotted tails are shared by both sexes of *disjuncta*, *atrothorax*, and *pelzelni*. Males of *strigilatus*, *pelzelni*, and *atrothorax* share a narrow black throat patch that broadens into the breast. Females of *disjuncta* and *atrothorax* share reddish-yellow-brown underparts.

*Morphometrics.*—Although *strigilatus* is longer and heavier compared to the three *Myrmeciza* species (Table 2), morphometric proportions are similar among the four species (Table 3), except that the long bill of *disjuncta* (bill length/total length) and the short hallux of *strigilatus* (hallux length/tarsus length) differ diagnostically.

Loudsongs.—Loudsongs of species in the *Myrmorchilus* clade have almost nothing in common, and those of *strigilatus* and *disjuncta* are unique in the Thamnophilidae. Loudsongs of *pelzelni* and *atrothorax* both consist of series of notes rising in pitch but are dissimilar in their duration, note shape, pace, and change of pace.

*Habitat.—Myrmorchilus strigilatus* occurs in deciduous woodlands and scrub, especially those with terrestrial bromeliads; *disjuncta* is found in stunted, extremely dense bushy woodland and more open bush-covered fields (*monte cerrado* in Venezuela; bushy *campina* in Brazil), both growing on sandy soils (recent additions: Borges 2004, Naka *et al.* 2006); *atrothorax* is an edge species occupying a variety of habitats from light gaps in evergreen forest to river-edge thickets and ridge tops; and *pelzelni* is found in evergreen forest growing on white sandy soils.

*Foraging Behavior.—Myrmorchilus strigilatus* forages mostly on the ground in leaf litter and terrestrial bromeliads; both *disjuncta* and *atrothorax* forage on the ground in leaf litter or near the ground on vertical perches, often shifting back and forth between ground and perches; and *pelzelni* forages almost entirely on the ground in leaf litter, occasionally sallying up to the undersides of substrates.



**FIGURE 1.** Maximum-likelihood tree of a subset of the Thamnophilinae, showing that *Myrmeciza* is polyphyletic (species names in these clades are emboldened). Members of *Myrmeciza* are placed in eight different well-supported clades in the Microrhopiini, Pithyini, and Pyriglenini. The color of the circles at nodes indicates bootstrap support values, > 70% (black), 50-70% (gray), < 50% (white).



**FIGURE 2.** Bayesian consensus tree of a subset of the Thamnophilinae, showing that *Myrmeciza* is polyphyletic (species names in these clades are emboldened). Members of *Myrmeciza* are placed in eight different well-supported clades in the Microrhopiini, Pithyini, and Pyriglenini. The color of the circles at nodes indicates posterior probability support, > 0.95 (black), 0.95-0.75 (gray), < 0.75 (white).

*Tail and wing movements.*—Tail movements of *strigilatus* have been described as "swings tail over the back frequently at an angle of 90°" (Wetmore 1926). Typically *disjuncta* flicks its tail up and down in a shallow 10° arc, but more rarely *disjuncta* lowers its tail slowly below body level and flicks it up rapidly or wags tail sideways, also flicks its wings in and out of synchrony with its tail movements. Movements of *atrothorax* and *pelzelni* appear similar and involve frequent wagging of the tail, first slowly downward and then rapidly upward, also repeated wing twitching.

*Nest architecture.*—The nest of *strigilatus* is a cup placed on the ground (recent addition: Lima *et al.* 2008); the only known nest of *atrothorax* was a cup built in a clump of sedge over water. Nests of *pelzelni* and *disjuncta* are unknown.

*Discussion.*—The question is whether the members of the *Myrmorchilus* clade should be united, placed into separate genera, or placed in two or three genera. The four species differ in almost every aspect of morphology and behavior. Virtually no plumage character unites them as reflected in their current discontinuous placement in the taxonomic sequence, nor do morphometrics provide support for uniting them. For example, near equality of wing and tail length, the principal character that Todd (1927) used to describe a genus for *atrothorax*, is only shared with *strigilatus*. The constituent species share a ground or near-ground foraging behavior, and three of the four species prefer sandy soil habitats. Otherwise, behavioral attributes of the four species are inconsistent, and in the case of their loudsongs, extremely diverse.

Taxonomic Recommendations.—Because of the extensive differences among these four species in plumage and other phenotypic attributes, we recommend that *Myrmorchilus* be maintained and that *disjuncta*, *atrothorax*, and *pelzelni* each be placed in a monotypic genus. *Myrmophylax* Todd is available as a generic name for *atrothorax*. New names are needed for *disjuncta* and *pelzelni*.

## Myrmorchilus Ridgway, 1909

## Type species. Myiothera strigilata Wied, 1831.

Included species. *Myrmorchilus strigilatus* (Wied). STRIPE-BACKED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in *Myrmorchilus* clade by black streaked upperparts combined with black anterior underparts (males) or streaked black (females), black patch formed by wing coverts and bases of primaries, and black and rufous tails. Hallux short relative to tarsus length. Loudsongs structurally distinct. Tail "swings" over back unique in clade as is bromeliad-laden habitat. Ground nest differs from that of *Myrmophylax*.

# Myrmophylax Todd, 1927

## Type species. Formicarius atrothorax Boddaert, 1783.

Included species. Myrmophylax atrothorax (Boddaert). BLACK-THROATED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis**. Distinguished from other genera in *Myrmorchilus* clade by blackish unmarked tail and gray unmarked ocular region and ear coverts (both sexes), by male dark olive brown above and dark gray below with extensively black foreparts, and by small white wing coverts spots (most subspecies). Loudsongs structurally distinct. Lowers tail slowly and flicks it up rapidly, differing from *Myrmorchilus* and *Aprositornis*. Affinity for edge habitats unique in clade. Cup nest differs from that of *Myrmorchilus*.

## Aprositornis Isler, Bravo, and Brumfield gen. nov.

## Type species. Myrmeciza disjuncta Friedmann, 1945.

Included species. Aprositornis disjuncta (Friedmann) comb. nov.. YAPACANA ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in *Myrmorchilus* clade by relatively unmarked plumage dark gray above with a suggestion of white superciliary and white (male) or light reddish-yellow brown (female) below; small white wing covert spots (male) and interscapular patch; pinkish legs; and long bill compared to its overall length. Loudsongs structurally distinct. Tail flicking unique in clade. Impenetrable sandy soil habitat.

**Etymology**. The feminine generic name is taken from the Greek *aprositos* (unapproachable, hard to get at) and *ornis* (bird), referring to its preference for impassable bushy habitats, which seems to explain why it was so poorly known until recently.

#### Ammonastes Bravo, Isler, and Brumfield gen. nov.

#### Type species. Myrmeciza pelzelni Sclater, 1890.

Included species. Ammonastes pelzelni (Sclater) comb. nov. GRAY-BELLIED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis**. Distinguished from other genera in *Myrmorchilus* clade by upperparts reddish-yellow brown, by underparts with broad black throat and breast patch (male) white edged black-edged spots (female) becoming reddish-yellow brown posteriorly, and by boldly scaled facial pattern and spotted wing coverts. Loudsongs structurally distinct. Tail lowered slowly and flicked up rapidly, differing from *Myrmorchilus* and *Aprositornis*. Forest floor habitat.

**Etymology**. The masculine generic name is taken from the Greek *ammos* (sand) and *nastes* (inhabitant), referring to its preference for habitats growing on white sand soil.

## **Tribe Pithyini**

*Phylogenetic relationships.*—In the Pithyini (Figs. 1 and 2), *Myrmeciza hemimelaena* and *M. castanea*, recently distinguished cryptic species (Isler et al 2002), form a well-supported clade (henceforth the *hemimelaena* clade) sister to the *tyrannina*-group (following Fitzpatrick & Willard 1990) of *Cercomacra*. In the following comparisons the *tyrannina* clade includes *Cercomacra tyrannina* (Sclater), *C. serva* (Sclater), *C. nigrescens* (Cabanis and Heine), *C. laeta* (Todd), and *C. parkeri* Graves.

*Biogeography.*—Both clades occur in Amazonia (*hemimelaena* clade primarily south of the Amazon) with populations extending into the Andes (*hemimelaena* clade to 1350 m; *tyrannina* clade to 2200 m). The *tyrannina* clade also has a trans-Andean distribution that extends into the Chocó, the Colombian inter-Andean valleys, and Middle America. Species in the two clades are sympatric principally south of the Amazon.

*Plumage.*—The two clades differ in a number of plumage features. Males in the *hemimelaena* clade are posteriorly chestnut, anteriorly gray above and black below extending to breast; wing coverts are mostly black with chestnut edges and broad white tips. Males in the *tyrannina* clade are gray with white interscapular patches and narrow white wing covert tips, some with narrow white tips to the rectrices. Females of the *hemimelaena* clade are mostly olive brown above, variably tinged gray or rufous, with unmarked wing coverts, and tawny buff to cinnamon below.

*Morphometrics.*—Species in the *hemimelaena* clade have shorter and narrower tails than those of the *tyrannina* clade (Table 2) which produce substantial differences in morphological proportions involving tail measurements (Table 3).

*Loudsongs.*—Both clades share two characteristics: (1) male and female loudsongs differ, and (2) loudsongs of both sexes are short (almost all  $\leq 2$  sec). Because of substantial variation among species within each clade, no vocal characters distinguish the clades.

*Habitat.*—Members of both clades primarily inhabit evergreen forest although regional populations of each appear to be restricted to various microhabitats.

*Foraging behavior.*—Members of the *hemimelaena* clade forage mostly <1 m off the ground, hopping deliberately while rummaging in leaf piles on the ground and picking prey off low substrates. Members of the *tyrannina* clade forage higher although mostly within 5 m of the ground; methodically perch-gleaning prey from leaf surfaces and other substrates.

Tail and wing movements.—Members of both clades twitch tails from side to side and flick wings frequently.

*Nest architecture.*—Nests of the *hemimelaena* clade (known only for *hemimelaena*) are an open cup placed close to the ground. One nest was supported by palm leaves and attached to surrounding substrates on one side. Nests of the *tyrannina* clade (known for *tyrannina* and *laeta*) are a deep pensile pouch with an opening near the top, suspended from the tips of vines and drooping branches within 3 m of the ground.

Tribe or major clade	Species or species group	Total length	Body Length	Weight	Wing Length	Primary Length	Secondary Length
Microrhopiini	strigilatus	155	95	23	63	48	60
	disjuncta	135	86	14	59	40	55
	atrothorax	135	83	17	55	36	51
	pelzelni	135	89	17	56	41	53
Pithyini	hemimelaena clade	115	79	16	54	37	51
	tyrannina clade	140	81	17	61	40	57
ferruginea clade	ferruginea	145	92	24	64	45	59
	ruficauda	145	95	19	66	47	60
	loricata	145	79	17	60	40	55
	squamosa	145	82	18	58	40	54
Hylophylax clade	Hypocnemoides species	115	81	13	60	44	53
	Hylophylax species	110	76	13	59	42	53
exsul clade	exsul	140	97	26	64	45	59
	griseiceps	130	76	15	57	37	56
	berlepschi	140	98	26	67	44	58
	laemosticta group	135	90	24	63	43	58
Sclateria clade	schistacea group	150	98	23	66	45	60
	hyperythra	170	111	41	78	55	75
	caurensis	185	111	39	84	57	78
	naevia	150	100	24	68	45	63
longipes clade	longipes	150	98	28	66	48	63
	myotherinus-group	125	85	20	65	45	59
	lophotes	145	91	31	73	51	69
	nudiceps	155	97	32	75	51	68
	Pyriglena species	170	96	31	76	51	70
	Percnostola species	145	92	25	69	47	62
	immaculata clade	175	103	44	80	55	74
	melanoceps clade	170	106	43	87	61	82

**TABLE 2a.** Morphometrics (part 1 of 2). Body length = Total length—Tail length. All measurement in cm. except Weight in g.

## **TABLE 2b.** Morphometrics (part 2 of 2). All measurements in cm.

Tribe or major clade	Species or species group	Tail Length	Tail width	Bill Length	Bill Width	Bill Depth	Tarsus Length	Hallux Length
Microrhopiini	strigulatus	60	10.7	10.8	3.6	4.1	31.8	14.4
	disjuncta	49	13.4	12.4	4.2	3.9	25.4	15.6
	atrothorax	51	11.1	9.6	4.0	3.9	24.6	14.3
	pelzelni	46	9.5	10.3	3.9	3.7	23.6	13.6
Pithyini	hemimelaena clade	36	7.1	9.3	4.0	3.7	23.5	14.5
	tyrannina clade	59	11.2	10.6	4.7	4.4	22.8	14.7
ferruginea clade	ferruginea	54	9.4	12.3	4.2	4.4	26.3	14.8
	ruficauda	50	9.7	10.9	4.0	4.5	24.0	16.1
	loricata	66	9.5	9.1	3.7	3.5	24.7	15.9
	squamosa	63	9.7	8.7	3.6	3.5	27.0	16.6

.....continued on the next page

#### TABLE 2b. (Continued)

Tribe or major clade	Species or species group	Tail Length	Tail width	Bill Length	Bill Width	Bill Depth	Tarsus Length	Hallux Length
Hylophylax clade	Hypocnemoides species	34	8.2	11.0	4.2	3.4	23.3	13.8
	Hylophylax species	34	7.3	10.1	4.9	4.0	19.7	13.2
exsul clade	exsul	43	10.2	11.6	4.7	4.8	27.8	16.5
	griseiceps	54	8.7	10.4	3.8	3.4	23.8	14.7
	berlepschi	42	8.5	12.6	5.0	5.4	25.9	16.1
	laemosticta group	45	9.7	11.4	4.7	4.6	26.6	15.5
Sclateria clade	schistacea group	52	11.2	11.0	4.5	4.8	26.4	15.6
	hyperythra	59	11.9	13.9	5.3	6.2	29.6	17.9
	caurensis	74	14.3	14.3	5.3	6.1	29.2	17.6
	naevia	50	11.0	14.9	4.2	4.4	25.3	16.0
longipes clade	longipes	52	9.4	11.9	4.3	4.4	29.5	16.1
	myotherinus-group	40	8.8	11.0	4.9	4.8	26.0	16.4
	lophotes	54	11.2	12.0	5.2	5.8	30.7	19.7
	nudiceps	58	13.2	12.6	5.3	5.2	30.2	19.2
	Pyriglena species	74	16.3	10.9	4.5	4.9	31.0	18.2
	Percnostola species	53	10.8	12.0	5.0	5.2	28.4	17.8
	immaculata clade	72	13.8	13.3	5.4	6.3	33.6	19.1
	melanoceps clade	64	13.4	13.9	5.8	6.4	32.9	21.2

*Discussion.*—The extensive differences between the two clades in morphology, nest architecture and foraging behavior indicate that they should be placed in different genera. *Cercomacra* species are the subject of an extensive ongoing study (J. Tello *et al.* in prep). Consequently, we have described attributes of the *tyrannina* clade solely for comparison purposes without making a taxonomic recommendation.

*Taxonomic Recommendations.*—We recommend that the *hemimelaena* clade be given generic recognition which we are prepared to name as no name is available.

## Sciaphylax Bravo, Isler, and Brumfield gen. nov.

#### Type species. Myrmeciza hemimelaena Sclater, 1857.

Included species. Sciaphylax hemimelaena (Sclater) comb. nov. CHESTNUT-TAILED ANTBIRD.

Sciaphylax castanea (Zimmer) comb. nov. ZIMMER'S ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from *Cercomacra tyrannina* clade by deep yellowish-red-brown posterior coloration, including tail, and bold wing covert pattern; by short, narrow tail and related proportions (tail length/ total length, tail length/wing length); and by cup nest; supported by near-ground foraging behavior.

**Etymology.** The feminine generic name is taken from the Greek *skia* (shade, shadow) and *phylax* (a watcher, a guardian), meaning "a guardian of the shade," reflecting habitat preference and conspicuous singing behavior.

# **Tribe Pyriglenini**

The remaining *Myrmeciza* species are distributed among four of the five major clades within the Pyriglenini (Figs. 1 and 2) uncovered by the molecular analysis, although low support at the basal nodes of the tribe makes relationships of these clades uncertain. However, genera within the Pyriglenini are well defined phylogenetically and phenotypically as expressed in the diagnoses that follow. For that reason and to simplify the presentation, comparisons are principally made within major clades, but unique attributes within the Pyriglenini are also noted. All clades of Pyriglenini, including one not containing a *Myrmeciza* species, are examined.

TAXONOMIC REVISION OF MYRMECIZA

Tribe or major clade	Species or species group	Weight/ Body length	Wing length/ Total length	Wing length/ Body length	Primary length/ Secondary length	Tail length/ Wing length	Tail width/ Tail length
Microrhopiini	strigulatus	0.24	0.41	0.67	0.80	0.95	0.18
	disjuncta	0.16	0.44	0.69	0.73	0.84	0.27
	atrothorax	0.20	0.41	0.66	0.70	0.94	0.22
	pelzelni	0.19	0.42	0.63	0.78	0.82	0.21
Pithyini	hemimelaena clade	0.20	0.47	0.68	0.73	0.70	0.20
	tyrannina clade	0.21	0.44	0.76	0.71	0.95	0.19
ferruginea clade	ferruginea	0.26	0.44	0.69	0.75	0.84	0.18
	ruficauda	0.20	0.46	0.70	0.79	0.75	0.19
	loricata	0.21	0.41	0.76	0.73	1.10	0.14
	squamosa	0.22	0.40	0.71	0.73	1.08	0.15
Hylophylax clade	Hypocnemoides species	0.16	0.52	0.74	0.83	0.57	0.24
	Hylophylax species	0.17	0.54	0.78	0.79	0.58	0.21
exsul clade	exsul	0.27	0.46	0.66	0.76	0.67	0.24
	griseiceps	0.20	0.44	0.75	0.67	0.95	0.16
	berlepschi	0.27	0.48	0.68	0.75	0.64	0.20
	laemosticta group	0.27	0.47	0.71	0.73	0.71	0.22
Sclateria clade	schistacea group	0.23	0.44	0.67	0.75	0.78	0.22
	hyperythra	0.37	0.46	0.70	0.73	0.76	0.20
	caurensis	0.35	0.46	0.76	0.73	0.88	0.19
	naevia	0.24	0.45	0.68	0.71	0.73	0.22
longipes clade	longipes	0.27	0.44	0.68	0.76	0.79	0.18
	myotherinus-group	0.23	0.52	0.76	0.76	0.62	0.22
	lophotes	0.34	0.50	0.80	0.74	0.74	0.21
	nudiceps	0.33	0.48	0.77	0.75	0.77	0.23
	Pyriglena species	0.32	0.45	0.79	0.73	0.97	0.22
	Percnostola species	0.27	0.48	0.75	0.76	0.77	0.20
	immaculata clade	0.43	0.46	0.78	0.74	0.90	0.19
	melanoceps clade	0.41	0.51	0.82	0.74	0.74	0.21

## **TABLE 3a.** Morphometric proportions (part 1 of 2).

**TABLE 3b.** Morphometric proportions (part 2 of 2).

Tribe or major clade	Species or species group	Bill length/ Total length	Bill width/ Bill length	Bill depth/ Bill length	Hallux length/ Tarsus length	Bill length/ Tarsus length	Tarsus length/ Total length	Tail length/ Total length
Microrhopiini	strigulatus	0.070	0.33	0.38	0.45	0.34	0.20	0.39
	disjuncta	0.092	0.34	0.32	0.61	0.49	0.19	0.36
	atrothorax	0.071	0.41	0.40	0.58	0.39	0.18	0.38
	pelzelni	0.076	0.38	0.36	0.58	0.44	0.17	0.34
Pithyini	hemimelaena clade	0.081	0.43	0.40	0.62	0.40	0.20	0.31
	tyrannina clade	0.076	0.44	0.41	0.64	0.46	0.16	0.42

.....continued on the next page

#### **TABLE 3b.** (Continued)

Tribe or major clade	Species or species group	Bill length/ Total length	Bill width/ Bill length	Bill depth/ Bill length	Hallux length/ Tarsus length	Bill length/ Tarsus length	Tarsus length/ Total length	Tail length/ Total length
ferruginea clade	ferruginea	0.085	0.34	0.36	0.56	0.47	0.18	0.37
	ruficauda	0.075	0.37	0.41	0.67	0.45	0.17	0.34
	loricata	0.063	0.41	0.38	0.64	0.37	0.17	0.45
	squamosa	0.060	0.41	0.40	0.61	0.32	0.19	0.44
Hylophylax clade	Hypocnemoides species	0.096	0.38	0.31	0.59	0.47	0.20	0.30
	Hylophylax species	0.092	0.48	0.40	0.67	0.51	0.18	0.31
exsul clade	exsul	0.083	0.41	0.41	0.59	0.42	0.20	0.31
	griseiceps	0.080	0.36	0.33	0.62	0.44	0.18	0.42
	berlepschi	0.090	0.40	0.43	0.62	0.49	0.18	0.30
	laemosticta group	0.084	0.42	0.41	0.58	0.43	0.20	0.33
Sclateria clade	schistacea group	0.073	0.41	0.44	0.59	0.42	0.18	0.35
	hyperythra	0.082	0.38	0.44	0.60	0.47	0.17	0.35
	caurensis	0.077	0.37	0.43	0.60	0.49	0.16	0.40
	naevia	0.099	0.28	0.29	0.63	0.59	0.17	0.33
longipes clade	longipes	0.079	0.36	0.37	0.55	0.40	0.20	0.35
	myotherinus-group	0.088	0.45	0.44	0.63	0.42	0.21	0.32
	lophotes	0.083	0.43	0.48	0.64	0.39	0.21	0.37
	nudiceps	0.081	0.42	0.41	0.64	0.42	0.19	0.37
	Pyriglena species	0.064	0.41	0.45	0.59	0.35	0.18	0.43
	Percnostola species	0.083	0.42	0.43	0.63	0.42	0.20	0.37
	immaculata clade	0.076	0.41	0.47	0.57	0.40	0.19	0.41
	melanoceps clade	0.082	0.42	0.46	0.64	0.42	0.19	0.38

# ferruginea clade

*Phylogenetic relationships.*—*Myrmeciza ferruginea* (Müller), *M. ruficauda* (Wied), *M. loricata* (Lichtenstein), and *M. squamosa* (Pelzeln), henceforth the *ferruginea* clade, form a well-supported clade. Relationships within the clade are not well resolved except for the sister relationship between *loricata* and *squamosa* (Figs. 1 and 2).

*Biogeography.*—Three species, *ruficauda*, *loricata*, and *squamosa*, are confined to the Atlantic Forest of Brazil; *ferruginea* is found in the Guianan region and south of the Rio Amazon in the Madeira–Tapajós interfluvium. They mainly occur in lowlands except that *loricata* is restricted to foothills. The three Atlantic Forest species are allopatric or parapatric, although *ruficauda* and *loricata* may overlap in Espírito Santo.

*Plumage.*—The three Atlantic Forest species are similar. They share some striking features, particularly black wing coverts broadly tipped white or buff, black ear patches (gray in female *ruficauda*) contrasting with brown upperparts, and light brown or white underparts which are scalloped with black feathers edged white in males. The fourth species, *ferruginea* has a large black breast patch and bare blue periorbital skin, but shares the conspicuous wing covert pattern, black ear patch, and contrasting brown plumage.

*Morphometrics.*—Although total lengths are similar (Table 2), the essentially identical *loricata* and *squamosa* have longer tails than *ferruginea* and *ruficauda* and hence smaller bodies. Consequently, *loricata* and *squamosa* have greater tail/wing length ratios (Table 3). In addition, bill lengths of *ferruginea* and *ruficauda* are long relative to tarsus length.

Loudsongs.—Loudsongs of loricata, ferruginea, and squamosa are short (6-7 notes), countable series of doublets whose peaks descend in frequency. The loudsong of *ruficauda* is also delivered in doublets but given so

rapidly that individual notes can barely be discriminated. Only in *ferruginea* does the loudsong of females differ appreciably from that of the male.

*Habitat.*—The three Atlantic Forest species prefer sandy soil forests, drier forests, or steep slopes (*loricata*) with a high density of slender saplings and broad-leaved plants in the understory; *ferruginea* also occurs in sandy soil forests but is also found around light gaps and treefalls in humid forest.

*Foraging behavior.*—All four species are highly terrestrial, foraging on the ground and less often jumping up to low perches. All glean prey from leaf litter or substrates within 1 m of the ground. Only *ferruginea* is known to follow army ants regularly.

*Tail and wing movements.*—*Myrmeciza ferruginea* flicks its tail up and down in a shallow arc and flicks its wings; *ruficauda* slowly dips its tail and raises it more rapidly; *loricata* and *squamosa* flick their tails (normally slightly cocked) upward before lowering them slowly and flick their wings.

*Nest architecture.*—Nests of *ferruginea*, *ruficauda*, and *loricata* are open cups placed on the ground, or slightly elevated on a platform of debris, among and often partially covered by dead leaves, branches, and small plants (recent addition: Buzzetti & Barnett 2003). The only nest known for *squamosa* is similar but based on the unclear description may be placed among exposed roots of a tree.

*Discussion.*—Morphometric distinctions lend support to maintaining two genera (*ferruginea/ruficauda* and *loricata/squamosa*). Inconsistencies in wing and tail movements and aspects of *ferruginea* plumage lend weight to a three genus solution (*ferruginea, ruficauda*, and *loricata/squamosa*). On the other hand, commonalities of most aspects of morphology (especially plumage) and behavior (loudsongs, habitat, foraging behavior, and nest architecture) indicate that the species should be placed in a single genus.

*Taxonomic Recommendations.*—Given the numerous phenotypic consistencies among the species, we recommend that the four species be considered congeneric. Available names include *Myrmoderus* Ridgway (1909), which has priority over *Myrmedestes* Todd (1927).

# Myrmoderus Ridgway, 1909

Type species. *Myiothera loricata* Lichtenstein, 1823.

Included species. *Myrmoderus ferrugineus* (Müller). FERRUGINOUS-BACKED ANTBIRD.

*Myrmoderus loricatus (*Lichtenstein). WHITE-BIBBED ANTBIRD.

Myrmoderus ruficauda (Wied). SCALLOPED ANTBIRD.

Myrmoderus squamosus (Pelzeln). SQUAMATE ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in Pyriglenini by scalloped underparts of males (solid black in *ferruginea*), black ear covert patches, extensively rufous brown plumage, and broadly tipped blackish wing coverts. Open cup nests on ground, loudsongs consisting of doublets, and flicking tail movements distinguish *Myrmoderus* from other clades in Pyriglenini except *Hylophylax* clade. Proportionately long tail and slender bill identified by Ridgway as generic characters apply only to *loricatus* and *squamosus*.

# Hylophylax clade

*Phylogenetic relationships.*—The *Hylophylax* clade (Figs. 1 and 2) consists of two well-supported genera, *Hylophylax* and *Hypocnemoides*. The following comparisons examine distinctions between them and identify synapomorphies for later use in comparisons to other clades in the Pyriglenini. *Hylophylax* includes *H. naevioides* (Lafresnaye), *H. naevius* (Gmelin), *H. punctulatus* (Des Murs). *Hypocnemoides* includes *H. melanopogon* (Sclater), and *H. maculicauda* (Pelzeln).

*Biogeography.*—Representatives of *Hylophylax* and *Hypocnemoides* occur throughout Amazonia. One *Hylophylax* species is trans-Amazonian.

*Plumage.*—The two genera are distinctive in plumage. *Hypocnemoides* species are gray above with white edges to blackish wing coverts; males have a black throat and gray underparts; females underparts are primarily white. Species of *Hylophylax* are yellowish brown but boldly patterned; most species have black throats or malars, white spots on posterior upperparts, black spots on anterior underparts, and black wing coverts with large spots on tips.

Morphometrics.—The two genera are similar morphometrically except for bill proportions (Tables 2 and 3)

*Loudsongs.*—Loudsongs of *Hypochemoides* species consist of a long, rapidly delivered series of ascending and descending notes; those of *Hylophylax* species consist of a series of doublets, varying in duration and frequency pattern by species, but readily identifiable as *Hylophylax* loudsongs.

Habitat.—Hypocnemoides species are closely associated with streams and other water related habitats. Hylophylax punctulatus primarily inhabits seasonally flooded evergreen forest. The remaining Hylophylax species inhabit the understory of evergreen forest, both *terra firme* and *várzea*, and mature second growth.

*Foraging behavior.*—Species of *Hylophylax* often fly among vertical perches < 2 m from the ground while sallying to substrates, and they accompany mixed flocks or follow army ants regularly. *Hypocnemoides* species hop through branches and vines mostly < 2 m from the surface, often (but not always) over water, sally to substrates including water surfaces, and occasionally join mixed flocks or follow army ants.

*Tail and wing movements.—Hylophylax* species hold their tails below the plane of the body, flick them upward to just above plane of body, and then lower them slowly. *Hypocnemoides* species hold their tails up over plane of body, frequently flicking them up even higher while flicking wings constantly.

*Nest architecture.*—*Hylophylax* nests are hanging cups slung from between two horizontal branches or a branch fork close to ground (known for 2 spp.; recent addition: Greeney 2007). The nest of *Hypocnemoides* (known for *melanopogon*) is a pouch with its entrance near the top, placed over water and slung from a variety of surfaces.

*Discussion.*—These well-marked genera have not been associated historically. Their common heritage appears to be reflected only in similarities of morphometrics (other than bill shape) and aspects of foraging behavior.

*Taxonomic Recommendations.—Hylophylax* and *Hypocnemoides* should be maintained as distinct genera based on differences in plumage, bill proportions, loudsongs, nests, and tail and wing movements, and supported by habitat differences.

## Hypocnemoides Bangs and Penard, 1918

Type species. *Hypocnemis melanopogon* Sclater, 1857.

Included species. *Hypocnemoides melanopogon* (Sclater). BLACK-CHINNED ANTBIRD.

Hypocnemoides maculicauda (Pelzeln). BAND-TAILED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from sister group *Hylophylax* and all other genera in the Pyriglenini by plumage, restricted to black, white, and shades of gray. Nest unique in Pyriglenini. Distinguished from *Hylophylax* by narrower bill width and depth compared to bill length, loudsong, and tail movements; habitat and foraging behavior differences are supportive.

# Hylophylax Ridgway, 1909

Type species. Conopophaga naevioides Lafresnaye, 1847.

Included species. *Hylophylax naevioides* (Lafresnaye). SPOTTED ANTBIRD.

Hylophylax naevius (Gmelin). SPOT-BACKED ANTBIRD.

Hylophylax punctulatus (Des Murs). DOT-BACKED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from *Hypocnemoides* and all other genera in Pyriglenini by boldly patterned plumage. Distinguished from *Hypocnemoides* by broader bill width and depth compared to bill length, loudsong, nest, and tail movements; habitat and foraging behavior differences are supportive.

## Sclateria clade

*Phylogenetic relationships.*—*Sclateria naevia* (Gmelin) is sister to species currently placed in the genus Schistocichla, among which *Myrmeciza hyperythra* (Sclater) is nested (Figs. 1 and 2). In the following morphological analysis, data for *Sclateria naevia*, *Myrmeciza hyperythra*, and *Schistocichla caurensis* (Hellmayr)

are separated because of their larger size, but given their similarity, the plumage and morphometrics of *Schistocichla leucostigma* Pelzeln, *S. saturata* (Salvin), *S. humaythae* (Hellmayr), *S. brunneiceps* (Zimmer), *S. rufifacies* (Hellmayr), and *S. schistacea* (Sclater) are combined as the *schistacea* group. Until recently (Isler *et al.* 2007) *leucostigma, humaythae, brunneiceps*, and *rufifacies* were considered conspecific.

*Biogeography.*—Together *leucostigma*, *humaythae*, *brunneiceps*, and *rufifacies* cover much of Amazonia along with *naevia* with which they are sympatric. Ranges of *schistacea* and *hyperythra* are limited to western Amazonia where they are sympatric with *subplumbea* and *naevia*, and *caurensis* is restricted to the tepuis region.

*Plumage.*—Males of all species are gray with white wing covert spots; *hyperythra* has a pale blue periorbital skin patch and *naevia* a whitish supercilium (variable in intensity) and underparts variably streaked white or extensively white. Females of the *schistacea* group and *caurensis* are reddish brown (paler below) with cinnamon tinged wing spots and head variably gray. The underparts of females of *hyperythra* are similar, but their upperparts are gray, and they have white wing spots and periorbital skin patches like the males. Females of *naevia* have a supercilium and underparts pattern similar to that of the males, but their upperparts are grayish-brown.

*Morphometrics.*—The size (Table 2) and proportions (Table 3) of *naevia* are similar to those of the *schistacea* group, but its long slender bill is unique among the Pyriglenini. Except for tail length, *hyperythra* and *caurensis* are similar to one another in measurements and proportions, and their proportions are similar to the smaller *schistacea* group.

*Loudsongs.*—All species deliver long trills except for *schistacea*, whose loudsong is a short countable series of clear notes, and *caurensis*, whose loudsong is a slightly longer countable series of buzzy notes.

*Habitat.*—The *schistacea* group inhabits evergreen terra firme forest in lowlands and foothills. Others in the clade occupy more specialized habitats: *naevia* is found in seasonally flooded forest and sluggish streams in *terra firme*; *hyperythra* in seasonally flooded and transitional forests; and *caurensis* on boulder-strewn tepui slopes.

*Foraging behavior.*—All species forage on the ground and on low substrates mostly <1 m, hop deliberately, rummage in leaf piles on the ground, and pick prey off low substrates. Specialized foraging behavior includes *naevia* picking prey off water and *caurensis* probing in boulder mosses and litter.

*Tail and wing movements.*—All species except *naevia* flick their tails up and drop them to ca 30° below horizontal; *naevia* jerks its tail from side to side. In an earlier publication (Zimmer & Isler 2003) *hyperythra* was said to pound its tail downward, but video documentation (K. J. Zimmer, pers. comm.) shows that its tail movements are the same as those of other species in the group except *naevia*.

*Nest architecture.*—Few nests are known for species in this clade. Conflicting accounts for *leucostigma* include an open cup (Belcher & Smooker 1936; Greeney et al. 2013) and a nest under a log (O. Tostain pers. comm.). The only nest reported for *naevia* was an open cup over a stream (David & Londoño 2011). Two nests described for *hyperythra* were open cups, one attached to a spiny palm and the other to ferns (Londoño 2003).

*Discussion.*—The first issue is whether to maintain *Sclateria* as a monotypic genus. Its sister relationship with the rest of the clade is well supported in the molecular analysis. Its maintenance as a monophyletic genus is buttressed by plumage distinctions and bill morphology unique among the Pyriglenini. Differences in tail movements between *Sclateria* and the remaining species in the clade strongly indicate they should not be considered congeneric, a position supported by the specialized foraging behavior of *Sclateria*.

The second issue is whether to place in the same genus three subsequent clades consisting of *schistaceal saturata*, *hyperythra*, and *rufifacies/brunneiceps/leucostigma/humaythae* and presumably *caurensis*. The principal consideration is that *hyperythra* is nested in the phylogenetic tree between two clades of species currently placed in *Schistocichla*, some of which are similar morphologically and have been considered conspecific. The pale blue periorbital skin of *hyperythra* occurs in multiple thamnophilid clades and should not necessitate generic distinction. Otherwise, outside of the more extensive gray upperparts of the female, *hyperythra* is a large version of the *Schistocichla* species morphologically. Behavioral characteristics of *hyperythra* are also consistent with those of *Schistocichla* species although more needs to be known of nest architecture. The evidence points to placing *Schistocichla* species and *hyperythra* in the same genus.

*Taxonomic Recommendations.*—We recommend that *Sclateria* be maintained as a monotypic genus and that *hyperythra* and species currently placed in *Schistocichla* be merged. *Myrmelastes* Sclater 1858a has priority for this reconstituted genus. Thus, *Schistocichla* becomes a junior synonym of *Myrmelastes*.

## Sclateria Oberholser, 1899

## Type species. Sitta naevia Gmelin, 1788.

Included species. Sclateria naevia (Gmelin). SILVERED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in Pyriglenini by whitish supercilium and white-streaked underparts (reduced in some subspecies) and by bill proportions (bill width and depth compared to length). Distinguished from *Myrmelastes* by tail movements; habitat and foraging behavior differences are supportive.

## Myrmelastes Sclater, 1858a

Type Species. Thamnophilus hyperythrus Sclater, 1855.

Included species. Myrmelastes saturatus (Salvin). RORAIMAN ANTBIRD.

Myrmelastes schistaceus (Sclater). SLATE-COLORED ANTBIRD.

Myrmelastes hyperythrus (Sclater). PLUMBEOUS ANTBIRD.

Myrmelastes rufifacies (Hellmayr). RUFOUS-FACED ANTBIRD.

Myrmelastes brunneiceps (Zimmer). BROWNISH-HEADED ANTBIRD.

Myrmelastes humaythae (Hellmayr). HUMAITA ANTBIRD.

Myrmelastes leucostigma (Pelzeln). SPOT-WINGED ANTBIRD.

Myrmelastes caurensis (Hellmayr). CAURA ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Males distinguished from all other genera in Pyriglenini by plain gray plumage with darker wing coverts and white wing covert spots combined with absence of white interscapular patch. Female plumage similar to *Sclateria* but distinguished by gray on head or upperparts (except *humaythae*) and lack of pale superciliary. Differs from *Sclateria* in bill proportions (bill width and depth compared to length) and tail movements.

## exsul clade

*Phylogenetic relationships.*— This clade of species currently placed in *Myrmeciza* includes *M. exsul* (Sclater) as sister to the rest, and *M. griseiceps* (Chapman) as sister of a well-supported, although internally unresolved, clade consisting of *M. berlepschi* (Hartert), *M. nigricauda* (Salvin and Godman); *M. palliata* (Todd) and *M. laemosticta* Salvin (Figs. 1 and 2; Chaves *et al.* 2010). Until recently, *nigricauda*, *palliata*, and *laemosticta* were considered conspecific, and, given their morphological similarity, their plumage and morphometric data are combined as the *laemosticta* group.

*Biogeography.*—The *exsul* clade is trans-Andean. Species occur from Nicaragua to the western slopes of the Andes in extreme northwest Peru; *exsul* and *berlepschi* inhabit lowlands and the remaining species mostly foothills although *griseiceps* ranges to 3000 m. *Myrmeciza exsul* and *berlepschi* are sympatric in Colombia; *exsul* and *nigricauda* overlap elevationally; *berlepschi* and *nigricauda* appear to be elevationally parapatric. All other species are allopatric.

*Plumage.*—Except for the black *berlepschi*, the clade is gray anteriorly and brown (typically dark reddishbrown) posteriorly with wing coverts tipped white in at least one sex (except some subspecies of *exsul*) and white interscapular patches (small in *exsul*). Females in the *laemosticta* group are distinguished by black throats spotted white. Male *griseiceps* has a large black breast patch and graduated tail tipped white; the female has a white throat and breast streaked pale gray. Bare blue periorbital skin distinguishes *exsul*. Male *berlepschi* lacks white wing covert spots that are present in the female, which also has white spots from throat to upper belly.

*Morphometrics.*—Although the tail of *berlepschi* is slightly shorter and its bill slightly longer, species in the clade are similar in their measurements except for *griseiceps* (Table 2). *Myrmeciza griseiceps* is smaller except its tail is longer which creates a high ratio between tail length and wing and total length. In addition, its bill is thinner which produces a low bill depth/bill length ratio (Table 3).

*Loudsongs.*—The structure of male loudsongs of *laemosticta*, *berlepschi*, *nigricauda*, and *palliata* are similar: a countable series of abrupt notes shaped like a sharply peaked chevron. Female loudsongs of all four species can readily be distinguished from those of males, especially by their longer and flatter notes. Loudsongs of *griseiceps* 

(a rapid trill) and *exsul* (two-noted) differ from those of *berlepschi* and the *laemosticta* group as well as from one another, and their female songs are more similar to those of their respective males.

*Habitat.*—All species inhabit dense, tangled vegetation, the type of vegetation varying with conditions within their ranges: *exsul* in dense, tangled vegetation in humid lowland forest and mature second growth, sometimes in areas with more open undergrowth; *griseiceps* in patches of dense montane forest especially bamboo patches; *berlepschi* in heavily vegetated lowland forest, especially at borders and light gaps, and dense second growth; the *laemosticta* group in wet forest in foothills, often in ravines and steep slopes with tangled treefalls and landslides.

*Foraging behavior.*—All species except *griseiceps* forage primarily on the ground, hopping up to low substrates mostly <1 m (although *nigricauda* and *berlepschi* are poorly known). In contrast, *griseiceps* forages mostly 2-7 m up.

Tail and wing movements.—All species pound their tails down and raise them back slowly.

*Nest architecture.—Myrmeciza exsul* builds a bulky bottom-supported cup placed on a foundation of plants and debris < 0.4 m off the ground. The nest of *laemosticta* is a rim-supported cup built with flexible fibers and placed on shrubs 30–50 cm off the ground (Greeney *et al.* 2013). One minimally described nest, likely to be *palliata* given the record's locality, was said to be a cup placed low in a shrub.

Discussion.—The issue is whether to consolidate these six species in a single genus or to place *exsul*, *griseiceps*, and the *laemosticta* group and *berlepschi* in three genera. The question is complicated by *griseiceps* whose unique morphology led Hellmayr to conclude that the species "is extremely puzzling in its affinities." (Cory and Hellmayr 1924). The phylogeny embeds *griseiceps* between *exsul* and the clade consisting of *berlepschi*, *nigricauda*, *palliata* and *laemosticta*. These four species evidence similar morphology and behavior. *Myrmeciza exsul* is close to this group in morphology and behavior, as was suggested earlier by Robbins and Ridgely (1991). However, nest architecture supports distinguishing *exsul* from the *laemosticta* clade.

Taxonomic Recommendations.—Differences in plumage, morphometrics, foraging behavior, and loudsong structure between griseiceps and other members of the exsul clade require that griseiceps be placed in a monotypic genus. Despite the similarities between exsul and berlepschi and the laemosticta group, exsul then must be placed in a monotypic genus to avoid paraphyletic genera; differences in plumage, vocalizations, and nest architecture also support such treatment. We recommend that berlepschi and the laemosticta group be considered congeneric. The appropriate name for this genus is Sipia. New generic names are needed for exsul and griseiceps.

# Poliocrania Bravo, Isler, and Brumfield gen. nov.

## Type species. Myrmeciza exsul Sclater, 1858b.

Included species. Poliocrania exsul (Sclater) comb. nov. CHESTNUT-BACKED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from *Ampelornis* and *Sipia* by blue periorbital patch, by lack of spots or streaks on throat or underparts of female, and by loudsong structure. Differs from *Ampelornis* in numerous morphometric measures and proportions such as tail/wing length ratio; differences in foraging behavior supportive. Nest architecture differs from *Sipia*.

**Etymology.** The feminine generic name is taken from the Greek *polios* (ashy-gray) and *kranion* (skull, head), reflecting the gray head of the type species.

## Ampelornis Isler, Bravo, and Brumfield, new genus

Type species. Myrmoderus griseiceps Chapman, 1923.

Included species. Ampelornis griseiceps (Chapman) comb. nov. GRAY-HEADED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from *Poliocrania* and *Sipia* by morphometric measures, such as tail length/wing length ratio and bill depth/bill length ratio, resulting from relatively longer tail and thinner bill; by female's streaked underparts; and by loudsong structure; differences in foraging behavior supportive.

**Etymology.** The masculine generic name is taken from the Greek *ampelos* (vine) and *ornis* (bird), reflecting the viny habitat often occupied by this species.

# Sipia Hellmayr, 1924

Type species. Pyriglena berlepschi Hartert, 1898.

Included species. Sipia berlepschi (Hartert). STUB-TAILED ANTBIRD.

Sipia nigricauda (Salvin and Godman). ESMERALDAS ANTBIRD

Sipia laemosticta (Salvin). DULL-MANTLED ANTBIRD.

Sipia palliata (Todd). MAGDALENA ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from *Ampelornis* and *Poliocrania* by female throat spots and loudsong structure. Further distinguished from *Poliocrania* by nest structure and lack of blue periorbital patch and from *Ampelornis* by numerous morphometric measures, such as tail length/wing length ratio; differences in foraging behavior supportive.

# longipes clade

*Phylogenetic relationships.*—The phylogeny located *Myrmeciza longipes* (hereafter *longipes*) at the base of a large clade with the *Myrmoborus* clade and the *Gymnocichla* clade as subsequent sisters (Figs. 1 and 2). The *Myrmoborus* clade consists of *Percnostola lophotes* Hellmayr and Seilern and four *Myrmoborus* species including *M. melanurus* (Sclater and Salvin), *M. myotherinus* (Spix), *M. leucophrys* (Tschudi), and *M. lugubris* (Cabanis). Data for the morphologically similar *Myrmoborus* species are combined as the *myotherinus* group. *Percnostola lophotes* is identified by its specific name. The *Gymnocichla* clade includes *Gymnocichla nudiceps* (Cassin) (hereafter *nudiceps*); the genus *Pyriglena* including *P. leuconota* (Spix), *P. leucoptera* (Vieillot), and presumably (Maldonado-Coelho 2012) *P. atra* (Swainson); the genus *Percnostola* including *P. rufifrons* (Gmelin) and *P. arenarum* Isler, Alvarez, Isler, and Whitney; and two clades currently placed in *Myrmeciza*—the *immaculata* clade including *M. melanoceps* (Spix) and *M. goeldii* (Snethlage). Relationships among *Percnostola*, the *immaculata* clade, and the *melanoceps* clade are not resolved with high support. Although not include in our analysis, *Rhopornis ardesiacus* (Wied) is also a member of the *longipes* clade (Bravo et al. in prep.).

*Biogeography.*—Most species are Amazonian in distribution. However, *longipes* is patchily distributed west of the Andes and east of the Andes north of the Amazon, *nudiceps* and *immaculata* are trans-Andean, and the ranges of *Pyriglena* species extend to both Pacific slope of the Andes and the Atlantic coast of Brazil south to northern Argentina. Most species have limited geographic ranges and are allopatric within clades except *myotherinus* and *leucophrys* whose ranges are extensive and overlap most other cis-Andean species. Also, *longipes* is sympatric only with *leucophrys* in the Guianan region; *fortis* is sympatric with the *melanoceps* clade; and *immaculata* is only narrowly sympatric with *nudiceps*, as are *Pyriglena* and *Percnostola* species with *fortis*, *melanoceps*, and *goeldii*.

Plumage.—Pyriglena, Percnostola, and Myrmoborus species exhibit distinctive plumage features. Males of all species are black, gray, and white although plumages of some Pyriglena subspecies also include dark chestnut. Males of *Pyriglena* species have bright red eyes and white interscapular patches. The gray males of the two Percnostola species have distinctive black throats and dark crowns. The gray males of most species in the Myrmoborus clade have a distinctive face and throat patch edged with a white or pale gray superciliary although those of *melanurus* and *lophotes* are altogether black or blackish. Females are primarily brown with the following features: Pyriglena species have blackish tails; females of Percnostola species are gray or cinnamon-gray above; most females in the Myrmoborus clade have black or blackish lores and ear-coverts forming a distinctive patch although these are lacking in one lugubris subspecies and lophotes. The distinct plumage of longipes is distinguished by a number of characters including the rufous upperparts of males and the black subapical spots on wing coverts of females and most male subspecies. Males of nudiceps and the immaculata and melanoceps clades are black or blackish with bare bluish periorbital patches (extending to the forecrown in *nudiceps*); the presence of white patches differs among species except that immaculata and fortis share mostly concealed white patch at the bend of wing. Females of nudiceps and the immaculata and melanoceps clades are yellowish-brown to reddishbrown with bare bluish periorbital patches and pale wing covert edges (variable), the presence and extent of black or gray differing among species.

Morphometrics.--The most notable differences in measurements are the large sizes of species in the

*immaculata* and *melanoceps* clades (Table 2). However, these two clades differ in opposing directions in wing and tail lengths, leading to a large difference in tail/wing ratio (Table 3). Other noteworthy differences in size involve the relatively short bills and long tails of *Pyriglena* species which result in significant differences in bill length/total length and tail length/wing length ratios with the *myotherinus* clade, *nudiceps*, *Percnostola* species, and the *melanoceps* clade.`

*Loudsongs.*—Loudsongs of all species are structurally similar, consisting of a 2–4 second series of similar notes (typically an inverted V or U shape, less often down-slurred) that are repeated in a regular pattern (only species in the *immaculata* clade show a slight shift in pattern).

*Habitat.*—The most distinct types of habitat are occupied by *longipes* which is found in semideciduous and gallery forest, second growth woodland, and shrubby borders. Other species principally occur in evergreen forests, although some *Pyriglena leuconota* subspecies occupy deciduous forests. Nearly all species in the *longipes* clade show a predilection for dense tangles and thickets, especially in forest openings and borders. Specialties include water-related habitats for some *Myrmoborus* species, sandy soil forest for *Percnostola* species, and floodplain and transitional forest for the *melanoceps* clade and *lophotes*.

*Foraging behavior.*—All species forage primarily on the ground and on low substrates mostly within 1–2 m of the ground. All species, except perhaps for *lophotes* and *arenarum*, follow army ant swarms to some extent. Most are considered "regular" ant-followers, and *fortis* is considered an obligate follower.

*Tail and wing movements.*—All species (*arenarum* unknown) pound the tail downward and slowly raise it to horizontal or slightly higher.

*Nest architecture.*—Except for *longipes* all species (*arenarum* unknown) build domed or partially domed nests atop leaf litter on the ground or to 1 m off the ground among fallen limbs or leaf rachides of understory palms (recent additions: Greeney et al. 2004, Lebbin et al. 2007). *Myrmeciza longipes* builds an open cup just off the ground; said to be placed on supports rather than slung from them.

*Discussion.*—Consistency of behavioral characteristics, including loudsong structure, tail and wing movements, and foraging behavior, reinforces the molecular finding of common ancestry for species in the *longipes* clade. An exception is *Myrmeciza longipes* which differs from other species in the clade in its nest architecture and habitat preferences. Existing genera within the group, *Gymnocichla, Myrmoborus, Pyriglena* and *Percnostola*, have, however, long been recognized as distinct in plumage and morphometrics from each other and from *longipes*, and placing them in a single genus is clearly inappropriate. This leaves questions of *lophotes* and the *immaculata* and *melanoceps* clades. Regarding *lophotes*, the phylogeny places it within *Myrmoborus*, and its plumage resemblance to *Myrmoborus melanurus* (not noted previously in the literature) became obvious after the genetic study showed that they are sisters. The morphologically similar *immaculata* and *melanoceps* clades form a polytomy with *Percnostola* (Figs. 1 and 2) and, therefore, cannot be placed in the same genus. The two clades differ principally in tail length and wing length and consequently in wing/tail proportions. Given the choice of uniting the *immaculata* and *melanoceps* clades with the morphologically dissimilar *Percnostola* or recommending that they be placed in three genera, the latter course is most consistent with previous generic decisions in the Thamnophilidae, such as the purely morphological basis for the maintenance of *Gymnocichla* and *Pyriglena*.

Taxonomic Recommendations.—We recommend that Myrmeciza longipes be maintained in a monotypic genus, that Gymnocichla, Myrmoborus, Pyriglena, and Percnostola be maintained as genera, and that Percnostola lophotes be transferred to the genus Myrmoborus. We also recommend that species in the immaculata and melanoceps clades be considered distinct from the foregoing and that they be placed in different genera given their uncertain phylogenetic relationship and the morphometric characters that distinguish them.

# Myrmeciza Gray, 1841

Type species. Drymophila longipes Swainson, 1825.

Included species. Myrmeciza longipes (Swainson). WHITE-BELLIED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in the *longipes* clade by plumage including rufous upperparts and black anterior underparts bordered gray of males and black subapical spots on wing coverts of females and most male subspecies. Open cup nest off the ground unique in *longipes* clade. Habitat distinctions are supportive.

# Myrmoborus Cabanis and Heine, 1859

Type species. *Pithys leucophrys* Tschudi, 1844.

Included species. *Myrmoborus melanurus* (Sclater and Salvin). BLACK-TAILED ANTBIRD.

Myrmoborus lophotes (Hellmayr and Seilern). WHITE-LINED ANTBIRD.

Myrmoborus myotherinus (Spix). BLACK-FACED ANTBIRD.

Myrmoborus leucophrys (Tschudi). WHITE-BROWED ANTBIRD.

Myrmoborus lugubris (Cabanis). ASH-BREASTED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in the *longipes* clade by plumage. Males all blackish with white wing covert edges or gray with distinctive black mask; most females with similar mask. Bill wide relative to length. Differs from *Pyriglena* in tail length and bill length proportions. Domed-shaped nest differs from nest of *Myrmeciza*.

# Gymnocichla Sclater, 1858a

Type species. Myiothera nudiceps Cassin, 1850.

Included species. Gymnocichla nudiceps (Cassin). BARE-CROWNED ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in *longipes* clade by plumage. Extensive bare crown of male and mostly unmarked black male and brown female plumages distinctive. Differs from *Pyriglena* in tail length and bill length proportions. Domed-shaped nest differs from nest of *Myrmeciza*..

# Pyriglena Cabanis, 1847

Type species. Turdus leucopterus Vieillot, 1818

Included species. *Pyriglena leuconota* (Spix). WHITE-BACKED FIRE-EYE.

Pyriglena leucoptera (Vieillot). WHITE-SHOULDERED FIRE-EYE.

*Pyriglena atra* (Swainson). FRINGE-BACKED FIRE-EYE.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in *longipes* clade by brilliant red eyes and by plumage and morphometrics. Males with large white interscapular patches; females with blackish tails. Distinguished from *Myotherinus, Gymnopithys, Percnostola*, and *Inundicola* by short bills and long tails and by bill length/total length and tail length/wing length ratios. Domed-shaped nest differs from nest of *Myrmeciza*.

# Percnostola Cabanis and Heine, 1859

Type species. Turdus rufifrons. Gmelin, 1789.

Included species. *Percnostola rufifrons* (Gmelin). BLACK-HEADED ANTBIRD.

Percnostola arenarum Isler, Alvarez, Isler, and Whitney. ALLPAHUAYO ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in *longipes* clade by plumage. Gray males differ from all but *Myrmoborus* from which distinguished by black throats and dark crowns. Females distinguished from *Myrmoborus* by dark or contrasting crown and either lack of dark face mask or white in underparts. Differs from *Pyriglena* in tail length and bill length proportions. Domed-shaped nest differs from nest of *Myrmeciza*. Sandy soil forest habitat preference supportive.

# Hafferia Isler, Bravo, and Brumfield gen. nov.

Type species. Thamnophilus immaculatus Lafresnaye, 1845.

**Included species.** *Hafferia fortis* (Sclater and Salvin) *comb. nov.* SOOTY ANTBIRD. *Hafferia immaculata* (Lafresnaye) *comb. nov.* BLUE-LORED ANTBIRD. *Hafferia zeledoni* (Lafresnaye) *comb. nov.* ZELEDON'S ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in *longipes* clade except *Pyriglena* and *Inundicola* by plumage. Male black or grayish black; female brown or brown and gray, both lacking wing covert spots. Differs from *Pyriglena* by having bare periorbital patch and lacking white interscapular patch. Differs from *Inundicola* by longer tail and shorter wings resulting in substantially larger tail length/wing length ratio. Domed-shaped nest differs from nest of *Myrmeciza*.

**Etymology.** We are pleased to name this genus for the late Jürgen Haffer, whose seminal contributions to Neotropical ornithology are summed up elsewhere (Winkler 2011) and whose brilliant mind and willingness to share enriched us personally over the years. The name *Hafferia* is feminine in gender. If *Hafferia* and *Inundicola* are united, we would afford priority to *Hafferia*.

#### Inundicola Bravo, Isler, and Brumfield gen. nov.

Type species. Thamnophilus melanoceps Spix, 1825.

Included species. Inundicola melanoceps (Spix) comb. nov. WHITE-SHOULDERED ANTBIRD.

Inundicola goeldii (Snethlage) comb. nov.. GOELDI'S ANTBIRD.

**Diagnosis.** Distinguished from other genera in *longipes* clade except *Pyriglena* and *Hafferia* by plumage. Male black; female brown or brown and black, both lacking wing covert spots. Differs from *Pyriglena* by having bare periorbital patch and in tail length and bill length proportions. Differs from *Hafferia* by shorter tail and longer wings resulting in substantially smaller tail length/wing length ratio. Domed or partially-domed nest differs from nest of *Myrmeciza*.

**Etymology.** The masculine generic name is taken from the Latin *inundo* (deluge, flood) and *cola* (dwelling in), reflecting the habitat preference of the included species for seasonally flooded (várzea) and river-edge forest.

## **Overview of recommendations**

The objectives of this final section are to compare recommendations to test for consistency and to provide a benchmark for replication. It is widely recognized that no specific criteria exist to delimit genera. To provide a point of reference for replication of our results, however, this section makes explicit how character states affected recommendations and incorporates additional perspective of the divergence among the proposed genera based on a time-calibrated phylogeny of the Thamnophilidae presented elsewhere (Bravo 2012).

**TABLE 4.** Diagnostic differences of traits within and between clades. 0 = no differences between genera; 1 = some genera differ; 2 = all genera differ. "Song" = loudsong. The Result column identifies the number of genera recommended and whether they exist or are new. "New" includes any changes from the existing (Remsen *et al.* 2013) classification, and thus includes revived as well as new names. See text for definitions of clades and genera.

Comparison	Plumage	Morpho metrics	Song	Habitat	Foraging	Tail/ wing	Nest	Result
within Myrmorchilus clade	2	1	2	2	0	1	1	1 existing, 3 new
hemimelaena clade and sister clade	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	1 existing, 1 new
ferruginea clade and sister clade	2	0	1	0	0	1	2	1 new
within Hylophylax clade	2	2	2	0	0	2	2	2 existing
within Sclateria clade	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	1 existing 1 new
within exsul clade	2	1	2	0	1	0	0	3 new
Myrmeciza longipes and sister clade	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	1 existing
Myrmoborus clade and sister clade	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1 existing
Gymnocichla and sister clade	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1 existing
Pyriglena and sister clade	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1 existing
Percnostola and sister clade	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	1 existing
immaculata and melanoceps clades	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2 new

Table 4 compares differences found in seven traits. Difference in plumage characters is most consistent in the diagnoses. The comparison between the *immaculata* and *melanoceps* clades is the only exception. The second most common is morphometrics. Morphometric differences were commonly used in earlier generic descriptions, but they were typically imprecise. The fewer morphometric differences compared to plumage differences in Table 4 undoubtedly reflect our more severe threshold for distinguishing morphometric differences.

The currently recognized genera of *Myrmoborus*, *Gymnocichla*, and *Pyriglena* are distinguished from all the Pyriglenini only by plumage although also from some genera in the tribe by morphometrics and from *Myrmeciza* by nest architecture and habitat (Table 4). These genera have had a solid history of recognition, so plumage distinctions may be said to reflect a minimum threshold for acceptance. At the other extreme, the recommended genera in the *Myrmorchilus* clade differ to some extent in all traits except foraging. The two genera in the *Hylophylax* clade (both currently recognized) are the next most differentiated. Except for those provided for the *immaculata* and *melanoceps* clades, newly recommended genera are distinguished not only by plumage but also by characters in at least one of three categories—nest architecture, tail/wing movements, and song structure—that we consider intrinsic. Characteristics relating to habitat and foraging behavior are less clearly intrinsic, and in our diagnoses we describe differences in them as supporting characters.



**FIGURE 3.** Simplified time-calibrated chronogram of the Thamnophilidae showing relative ages of former members of the genus *Myrmeciza* and the main radiations in the family. Estimated stem ages of newly designated monotypic genera suggest that they diverged long ago from their closest relatives and provide additional support for their phenotypic, ecological, and behavioral distinctiveness. Bars at nodes indicate the 95% highest posterior density for the inferred divergence time estimates (Bravo 2012).

To provide additional perspective on the recommendations, the extent of diagnostic differences among genera was compared to the relative age of different clades in a time-calibrated species-level phylogeny of the entire family (Bravo 2012; Fig. 3). The two clades that resulted in various monotypic genera are old, especially the one containing *Myrmorchilus-Aprositornis-Myrmophylax-Ammonastes*. The estimated crown age of this clade overlaps with the crown age range estimated for the entire subfamily Thamnophilinae (ca. 215 species), and is similar to that of the principal tribes included therein. This explains why these genera are extremely different from each other and from the rest of the family. Likewise the estimated split of *Poliocrania* from *Ampelornis* and *Sipia* is quite old; its age is estimated as half of that of the family. The most recent age of partitioning of recommended genera involves the unresolved split of *Percnostola*, *Hafferia*, and *Inundicola*. As can be seen in Table 4, of all the recommended genera, *Hafferia* and *Inundicola* are distinguished by the fewest phenotypic characters. Future studies may find strong support for their sister relationship in which case they could be united under *Hafferia*. The estimated age of their divergence, however, is similar to that of other well-established genera in the family.

Of the 21 genera diagnosed, nine are monotypic. As stated in the introduction, we recognize the drawback of monotypic genera. When merited, however, a monotypic genus has value in identifying birds that do not have an extant close relative and that differ from others in morphology and behavior. As shown on Table 4, multiple diagnostic characteristics distinguish the nine monotypic genera, and recommendations are supported by their age relative to that of the family and its main radiations. In addition, because species limits in the Thamnophilidae are in need of reassessment (Remsen 2005), they actually may not represent monotypic taxa. At least three monotypic genera (*Myrmophylax*, *Sclateria*, and *Myrmeciza*) are highly likely to consist of multiple species. New information on ecology and behavior, in conjunction with increased morphological and phylogeographic data, will facilitate our continuing quest for accurate and informative thamnophilid genera.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the curators and collection managers of the AMNH, ANSP, COP, FMNH, IAvH, ICN, INPA, KU, LGEMA, MCP, MPEG, MZUSP, UAM, USNM, and UWBM (see Table 1 for explanation of acronyms). N. Aristizábal assisted in obtaining sequences. A. M. Cuervo and C. D. Cadena provided sequences for some specimens housed at COP and IAvH. K. J. Zimmer kindly provided updated descriptions of tail and wing movements, J. Tello informed us of ongoing *Cercomacra* studies, and A. M. Cuervo, P. R. Isler, D. F. Lane, and B. M. Whitney offered valuable advice. We are grateful to R. T. Chesser for his support and assistance throughout, to J. V. Remsen, Jr. for reviewing an earlier draft, to N. David for his review and comments on proposed nomenclature, and to R. C. Banks and an anonymous reviewer for the final review. This research was supported by grants from the Frank Chapman Memorial Fund - AMNH, the American Ornithologists' Union, the LSUMNS Big Day Fund, LSU Biograds, and NSF grants DBI-0400797, DEB-0841729, and DEB-1011435 to GAB and RTB.

## References

Akaike, H. (1974) A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 19, 716–723.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/tac.1974.1100705

American Ornithologists' Union. (1998) Check-list of North American Birds. 7th Edition. American Ornithologists' Union, Washington, D.C., 829 pp.

Baldwin, S.P., Oberholser, H.C. & Worley, L.G. (1931). *Measurements of birds*. Scientific Publication Cleveland Museum of Natural History II, 165 pp.

Bangs, O. & Penard T.E. (1918) Notes on a collection of Surinam birds. *Bulletin Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University*, 62, 25–93.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4072938

Baptista, L.F. & Kroodsma, D.E. (2001) Avian bioacoustics. *In* Hoyo, J. del, Elliot, A. & Sargatal, J. (Eds.), *Handbook of the birds of the world. Vol. 6. Mousebirds to Hornbills*. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 11–52.

Barker, F.K., Barrowclough, G.F. & Groth, J.G. (2002) A phylogenetic hypothesis for passerine birds: taxonomic and biogeographic implications of an analysis of nuclear DNA sequence data. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, 269, 295–308.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2001.1883

- Belcher, C.F. & Smooker, G.D. (1936) Birds of the colony of Trinidad and Tobago. 13th Ser. *Ibis*, 6, 792–813. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919x.1937.tb02173.x
- Borges, S.H. (2004) Species poor but distinct: bird assemblages in white sand vegetation in Jaú National Park, Brazilian Amazon. *Ibis*, 146, 114–124.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919x.2004.00230.x
- Belmonte-Lopes, R., Bravo, G.A., Bornschein, M.R., Maurício, G.N., Pie, M.R., & Brumfield, R.T. (2012) Genetic and morphological data support the placement of *Myrmotherula gularis* (Spix) in the monotypic genus *Rhopias* Cabanis and Heine (Aves: Passeriformes: Thamnophilidae). *Zootaxa*, 3451, 1–16.
- Bravo, G.A. (2012) *Phenotypic and niche evolution in the antbirds (Aves, Thamnophilidae)*. Ph.D dissertation. Louisiana State University. Baton Rouge, 169 pp.
- Bravo, G.A., Chesser, R.T. & Brumfield, R.T. (2012) *Isleria*, a new genus of antwren (Aves: Passeriformes: Thamnophilidae). *Zootaxa*, 3195, 61–67.
- Brumfield, R.T. & Edwards, S.V. (2007) Evolution into and out of the Andes: a Bayesian analysis of historical diversification in *Thamnophilus* antshrikes. *Evolution*, 61, 346–367. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00039.x
- Brumfield, R.T., Tello, J.G., Cheviron, Z.A., Carling, M.D., Crochet, N. & Rosenberg, K.V. (2007) Phylogenetic conservatism and antiquity of a tropical specialization: Army-ant-following in the typical antbirds (Thamnophilidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 45, 1–13.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.07.019

- Buzzetti, D.R.C. & Mazar Barnett, J. (2003) Description of the nest and eggs of two *Myrmeciza* antbirds endemic to the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. *Cotinga*. 20, 89–93.
- Cabanis, J. (1847) Ornithologische Notizen. Archiv für Naturgeschichte, 13, 186–256.
- Cabanis, J. & Heine F. (1859) Museum Heineanum. Verzeichniss der ornithologischen Sammlung des Oberamtmann Ferdinand Heine auf Gut St. Burchard vor Halberstadt. Mit kritischen Anmerkungen und Beschreibung der neuen Arten systematisch bearbeitet. R. Frantz, Halberstadt, 2, 1–175.
- Chapman, F.M. (1917) The distribution of bird life in Colombia: A contribution to a biological survey of South America. Bulletin American Museum of Natural History, 36, 1–729. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/103462a0
- Chapman, F.M. (1923) Descriptions of proposed new Formicariidae and Dendrocolaptidae. *American Museum Novitates*, 86, 1–20.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4074146

- Chaves, J.C., Cuervo, A.M., Miller, M.J. & Cadena, C.D. (2010) Revising species limits in a group of *Myrmeciza* antbirds reveals a cryptic species within *M. laemosticta* (Thamnophilidae). *Condor*, 112, 718–730. http://dx.doi.org/10.1525/cond.2010.100098
- Cory, C.B. & Hellmayr, C.E. (1924) Catalogue of birds of the Americas and the adjacent islands. Pteroptochidae -Conopophagidae - Formicariidae. *Field Museum of Natural History (Zoological Series)*, 13, Pt. 3, 1–369. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1363248
- David, S. & Londoño, G.A. (2011) First description of the nest of the Silvered Antbird (*Sclateria naevia*) with notes on eggs and nestlings. *Ornitologia Neotropical*, 22, 307–311.
- Derryberry, E.P., Claramunt, S., Derryberry, G., Chesser, R.T., Cracraft, J., Aleixo, A., Pérez-Emán, J., Remsen, J.V. Jr. &Brumfield, R.T. (2011) Lineage diversification and morphological evolution in a large-scale continental radiation: The Neotropical ovenbirds and woodcreepers (Aves: Furnariidae). *Evolution*, 65, 2973–2986. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01374.x
- Fitzpatrick, J.W. & Willard, D.E. (1990) Cercomacra manu, a new species of antbird from southwestern Amazonia. Auk, 107, 239–245.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4087605

Friedmann, H. (1945) A new ant-thrush from Venezuela. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington, 58, 83-84.

Gómez, J.P., Bravo, G.A., Brumfield, R.T., Tello, J.G. & Cadena, C.D. (2010) A phylogenetic approach to disentangling the role of competition and habitat filtering in community assembly of Neotropical forest birds. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 79, 1181–1192.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01725.x

Gray, G.R. (1841) A List of the Genera of Birds, 2nd ed. R. and J. E. Taylor, London, 115 pp.

- Greeney, H.F. (2007) Observations on the nesting of Spot-backed Antbird (*Hylophylax naevia*) in eastern Ecuador. *Ornitologia Neotropical*, 18, 301–303.
- Greeney, H.F., Gelis, R.A. & White, R. (2004) Notes on breeding birds from an Ecuadorian lowland forest. *Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club*, 124, 28–37.
- Greeney, H.F., Sánchez, C.E., Sánchez, J.E. & Carman, E. (2013) A review of nest and egg descriptions for the genus *Myrmeciza*, with the first description of nests and eggs of Dull-mantled Antbird (*M. laemosticta*). Journal of Ornithology, 154, 1049–1056.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10336-013-0973-0

- Groth, J.G. & Barrowclough, G.F. (1999) Basal divergence in birds and phylogenetic utility of the nuclear RAG-1 gene. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 12, 115–123. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1998.0603
- Hellmayr, C. E. (1906) Critical notes on the types of little known species of Neotropical birds. *Novitates Zoologicae*, 13, 305–352.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4070877

- Huelsenbeck, J.P. & Ronquist, F. (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. *Bioinformatics*, 17, 754–755. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754
- Irestedt, M., Fjeldså, J., Nylander, J.A.A. &. Ericson, P.G.P. (2004) Phylogenetic relationships of typical antbirds (Thamnophilidae) and test of incongruence based on Bayes factors. *BMC Evolutionary, Biology*, 4, 23.
- Isler, M.L., Alvarez, A.J., Isler, P.R., Valqui, T., Begazo, A. & Whitney, B.M. (2002) Rediscovery of a cryptic species and description of a new subspecies in the *Myrmeciza hemimelaena* complex (Thamnophilidae) of the Neotropics. *Auk* 119, 362–378.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1642/0004-8038(2002)119[0362:roacsa]2.0.co;2

- Isler, M.L., Isler, P.R., Whitney, B.M. & Zimmer, K.J. (2007) Species limits in the "Schistocichla" complex of Percnostola antbirds (Passeriformes: Thamnophilidae). Wilson Journal of Ornithology, 119, 53–70. http://dx.doi.org/10.1676/05-121.1
- Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K.I. & Miyata, T. (2002) MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 30, 3059–3066. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf436
- Kumar, S., Skjæveland, Å., Orr, R.J.S., Enger, P., Ruden, T., Mevik, B-H., Burki, F., Botnen, A. & Shalchian-Tabrizi, K. (2009) AIR: A batch-oriented web program package for construction of supermatrices ready for phylogenomic analyses. *BMC Bioinformatics 2009*, 10, 357.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-357

- Lafresnaye, F. (1845) Description de quelques oiseaux nouveaux. Revue zoologique, 8, 337-340.
- Lebbin, D.J., Hosner, P.A., Andersen, M.J., Valdez, U. & Tori, W.P. (2007) First description of nest and eggs of the White-lined antbird (*Percnostola lophotes*), and breeding observations of poorly known birds inhabiting Guadua bamboo in southeastern Peru. *Boletín SAO*, 16, 119–132.
- Lima, P.C., Lima-Neto, T.N. de C. & Silva, L.E.S. (2008) Primeiro registro documentado da reprodução do tem-farinha-aí? (*Myrmorchilus strigilatus strigilatus* Wied, 1831) na patría da Anodorhynchus leari. *Atualidades Ornitológicas*, 143, 24.
- Londoño, G.A. (2003) First description of nests and eggs of the Plumbeous (Myrmeciza hyperythra) and Black-faced (*Myrmoborus myotherinus*) antbirds. *Ornitologia Neotropical*, 14, 405–410.
- Maldonado-Coelho, M. (2012) Climatic oscillations shape the phylogeographical structure of Atlantic Forest fire-eye antbirds (Aves: Thamnophilidae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 105, 900–924. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2011.01823.x
- Meyer de Schauensee, R. (1970) A guide to the birds of South America. Livingston Publishing Company, Wynnewood, Pennsylvania, USA, 470 pp.
- Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W. & Schwartz, T. (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for Inference of Large Phylogenetic Trees. *In: Proceedings of the Gateway Computer Environments Workshop, New Orleans, LA*, pp. 1–8.
- Moyle, R.G., Chesser, R.T., Brumfield, R.T., Tello J.G., Marchese, D.J. & Cracraft, J. (2009) Phylogeny and phylogenetic classification of the antbirds, ovenbirds, woodcreepers, and allies (Aves: Passeriformes; infraorder Furnariides). *Cladistics*, 25, 1–20.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2009.00259.x

- Naka, L.N., Cohn-Haft, M., Mallet-Rodrigues, F., Santos, M.P.D. & Torres, M. de F. (2006) The avifauna of the Brazilian state of Roraima: bird distribution and biogeography of the Rio Branco basin. *Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia*, 14, 197–238.
- Nylander, J.A.A. (2004) *MrModeltest version 2*. Evolutionary Biology Center, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. Available from: http://www.abc.se/~nylander/ (Accessed 1 Nov. 2011)
- Nylander, J.A.A., Wilgenbusch, J.C., Warren, D.L. & Swofford, D.L. (2008) AWTY (are we there yet?): a system for graphical exploration of mcmc convergence in Bayesian phylogenetics. *Bioinformatics*, 24, 581–583. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm388

Oberholser, H.C. (1899) Some untenable names in ornithology. Proceedings of Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 1899, 201–216.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4069386

Peters, J.L. (1951) *Check-list of birds of the world, Vol.* 7. Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 318 pp.

Remsen, J.V. Jr. (2005) Pattern, process, and rigor meet classification. Auk, 122, 403-413.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1642/0004-8038(2005)122[0403:pparmc]2.0.co;2

Remsen, J.V. Jr., Cadena, C.D., Jaramillo, A., Nores, M., Pacheco, J.F., Pérez-Emán, J., Robbins, M.B., Stiles, F.G., Stotz, D.F.
& Zimmer, K.J. (2013) A classification of the bird species of South America. American Ornithologists' Union. [Online.] Available from: http://www.museum.lsu.edu/~Remsen/SACCBaseline.html (Accessed 30 Aug. 2013).

- Remsen, J.V. Jr. & Robinson, S.K. (1990) A classification scheme for foraging behavior of birds in terrestrial habitats. *Studies in Avian Biology*, 13, 144–160.
- Ridgely, R.S. & Tudor, G. (1994) *The birds of South America, Vol. 2.* the suboscine passerines. University of Texas Press, Austin, USA, 814 pp.
- Ridgway, R. (1909) New genera, species and subspecies of Formicariidae, Furnariidae, and Dendrocolaptidae. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, 22, 69–74. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4070824
- Ridgway, R. (1911) The birds of North and Middle America; part 5. Bulletin of the United States National Museum, 50, 1–859.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.5479/si.03629236.21
- Robbins, M.B. & Ridgely, R.S. (1991) *Sipia rosenbergi* (Formicariidae) is a synonym of *Myrmeciza* [*laemosticta*] *nigricauda*, with comments on the validity of the genus *Sipia*. *Bulletin of the British Ornithologists*' *Club*, 111, 11–18.
- Robbins, M.B. & Ridgely, R.S. (1993) A new name for *Myrmeciza immaculata berlepschi* (Formicariidae). *Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club*, 113, 190.
- Sclater, P.L. (1857) Characters of some apparently new species of American ant-thrushes. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 1857, 46–48.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1857.tb01195.x
- Sclater, P.L. (1858a) Synopsis of the American ant-birds (Formicariidae). Part II. containing the Formicivorinae or antwrens. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 1858, 232–254. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1858.tb06370.x
- Sclater, P.L. (1858b) On two species of ant-birds in the collection of the Derby Museum at Liverpool. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 1858, 540–541.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1858.tb06411.x
- Sclater, P.L. (1890) Catalogue of the birds in the British Museum. Vol. 15. British Museum, London, 371 pp.
- Sheldon, F.H. & Winkler, D.W. (1999) Nest architecture and avian systematics. Auk, 116, 875–877.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4089667
- Sibley, C.G. & Monroe, B.L. Jr. (1990) *Distribution and taxonomy of the birds of the world*. Yale University Press, New Haven, 111 pp.
- Spix, J.B. (1825) Avium species novae, quas in itinere per Braziliam annis 1817-20 collegit et descripsit. Vol. 2. Monachii, 85 pp.
- Stamatakis, A. (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, 22, 2688–2690. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446
- Swofford, D.L. (2003) *PAUP\**. *Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods)*. v4. Sinauer Associates. Sunderland. Available from: http://paup.csit.fsu.edu (Accessed 25 Sept. 2013)
- Todd, W.E.C. (1927) New gnateaters and antbirds from tropical America, with a revision of the genus *Myrmeciza* and its allies. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, 40, 149–178. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4076083
- Wetmore, A. (1926) Observations on the birds of Argentina, Paraguay, Uruguay, and Chile. Bulletin of the United States National Museum, 133, 1–448.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.5479/si.03629236.133.i
- Wilgenbusch, J.C., Warren, D.L. & Swofford, D.L. (2004) AWTY: A system for graphical exploration of MCMC convergence in Bayesian phylogenetic inference. Available from: http://ceb.csit.fsu.edu/awty (Accessed 1 Nov. 2011) http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm388
- Willis, E.O. (1985) Cercomacra and related antbirds (Aves, Formicariidae) as army ant followers. Revista Brasileira Zoologia, 2, 427–432.
  - http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s0101-81751983000300006
- Winkler, H. (2011) In Memoriam: Jürgen Haffer, 1932–2010. Auk, 128, 431–432. http://dx.doi.org/10.1525/auk.2011.128.2.431
- Zimmer, J.T. (1932) Studies of Peruvian birds. VI. The formicarian genera *Myrmoborus* and *Myrmeciza* in Peru. *American Museum Novitates*, 545, 1–24.
- Zimmer, K.J. & Isler, M.L. (2003) Family Thamnophilidae (typical antbirds). *In*: del Hoyo, J., Elliot, A. & Christie, D.A. (Eds.), *Handbook of the Birds of the World. Vol. 8. Broadbills to Tapaculos*. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain, pp. 448–681.
- Zyskowski, K. & Prum, R.O. (1999) Phylogenetic analysis of the nest architecture of Neotropical ovenbirds (Furnariidae). Auk, 116, 891–911. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4089670